



Ar-Rayah Newspaper

Issue 617 (16 September 2026)

(Translated from Arabic)

Editorial: An Analysis of Recent Developments in the War in Yemen **Page 2**

Feature Article: The Arrogance of Netanyahu and Katz from the Summit of Mount Hermon **Page 6**

France and Its War on the Hijab! **Page 12**

To Muslims and Their Armies: Remove the Hand of America from Your Lands! **Page 15**

Political Perspectives: Will the War End Soon and an Agreement Be Reached Between America and Iran? **Page 17**

The Political Crisis in Sudan and the Prospects for a Solution **Page 22**

The Khilafah is Salvation for Muslims and All Humanity **Page 26**

A Wave of Protests in Jakarta and Signs of Dissatisfaction **Page 28**

Kazakhstan Regime Continues Its War on Islam **Page 33**

Britain Leads a European Coalition to Impose Sanctions on Netanyahu's Government **Page 34**

Is the SCO an Organisation Established to Confront Islam? **Page 38**

Tunisia Hosting Phoenix Express 2026 Exercises **Page 42**

Amid Rising Hostility Toward Muslims in the West **Page 44**

O Muslims in Central Asia, do not allow your rulers to serve the interests of America and other colonialist powers, because this will lead to the surrender of your wealth into the hands of the colonial disbelievers, at a time when the people of Central Asia are suffering from severe economic hardship! The only way to get rid of these traitor rulers—who offer your wealth in service to the colonialist disbelievers for the sake of their own thrones and personal interests—is to turn to Islam, submit to it, unify upon its foundation, and implement it under the Khilafah (Caliphate). Only then will the dominance of the disbelievers over the Muslims be completely eliminated, and the nasr (victory) will be upon us, by the Permission of Allah ﷻ.

An Analysis of Recent Developments in the War in Yemen

By: Engineer Shafiq Khamis – Wilayah Yemen

The dust of battle finally settled on Yemen's West Coast on Thursday, September 10, revealing a resounding defeat: the withdrawal of the so-called National Resistance Forces—led by Tariq Mohammed Abdullah Saleh—from the city of Al-Mukha in the south in the face of the Houthis. Appeals made by Tariq Saleh to Aden proved futile; these included two separate, direct calls on Friday, September 4—one involving Tariq Saleh and the other involving Prime Minister Shaya al-Zindani and Rashad Al-Alimi, head of the eight-member Presidential Council. These appeals followed a surprise Houthi offensive on Thursday, September 3, involving dozens of ballistic missiles and drones. The attack coincided with clashes on the Al-Kadha, Maqbanah, Al-Barh, and Al-Waz'iyah fronts in western Taiz Governorate. The Houthis aimed to isolate Al-Mukha from Taiz, and their attacking forces managed to advance at multiple locations amidst the confusion and disarray within Tariq's forces—which are affiliated with the Yemeni government and possess superior manpower and weaponry compared to the Houthis. The Houthis succeeded in recapturing battlefield positions they had previously lost—a situation described by former Minister of Local Administration Abdul-Raqib Fath as the temporary loss of certain positions for a period of hours. These communications culminated in the announcement on Friday, September 4, of the arrival of military reinforcements—comprising Giants Brigades (Al-Amaliqah) and Nation's Shield Forces (NSF) —to areas along the West Coast and

western Taiz Governorate, accompanied by the commander of the Fourth Military Region, Hamdi Shukri. So, what happened, and how did it unfold? The Houthis rapidly seized control of Al-Wazi'iyah, Al-Barh, Maqbanah, Al-Kadha, and the Khalid Camp—capitalizing on withdrawals by government forces, comprising of the National Resistance Forces, the Giants Brigades, and the Nation's Shield Forces—thereby strangling the city of Mocha and cutting it off from Taiz. This left the city caught in a pincer movement from the north and south. Compounding the situation were incidents of betrayal in the neighboring areas of Hays and Khokha, as well as assassinations within the ranks of Tariq Saleh's forces. These setbacks were further aggravated by a devastating blow delivered through targeted, concentrated attacks using ballistic missiles and drones—attacks whose impact Saleh could not deny, despite his attempts to downplay their effect on his troops. On the morning of Thursday, September 3, Tariq Saleh had no choice but to surprise his forces with orders to withdraw from their positions toward Dhubab, abandoning the territory behind them to the Houthis. Consequently, the Houthis entered Mocha, effectively handed to them on a silver platter, as there was no significant resistance in the city—not even from Saudi air power.

The Houthis seek to portray themselves as the architects of victory on the West Coast; as Mohammed Ali al-Houthi stated—while clarifying Sana'a's political and military stance—the battle Sana'a is waging is not arbitrary. Rather, it is part of a push to achieve key demands, including lifting the financial and military blockade, ending the air and naval embargo, and securing a comprehensive cessation of military operations against Yemen (Al-Thawra Newspaper, September 10, 2026). Abdulaziz bin Habtoor echoed this sentiment.

Is it now the turn of the remaining parts of Marib and Taiz, and will the Houthis crown their efforts by seizing control of the entire northern sector of Yemen? Was the fiery statement made by Al-Alimi—conveyed on June 11 by former Minister of Local Administration Abdul-Raqib Fatah during a meeting with UN Envoy Grundberg—merely a ruse? In that statement, Al-Alimi asserted that “peace begins with restoring the state, not sharing power with the Houthis.” Yet, was this declaration not a genuine objection, but rather dust thrown in the eyes of the Yemeni people—a way to condition them to accept a power-sharing arrangement with the Houthis, thereby legitimizing the latter and breaking the public's resistance to such a deal?

Not long ago, the National Resistance Forces—formed in 2017—stood at the gates of Hodeidah. They were halted only by the December 2018 Stockholm Agreement, alongside humanitarian concerns and threats of UN action against the Aden-based government. Today, however, Mocha is being handed over to the Houthis. We are witnessing a repeat of the scenario where advancing forces—poised to march on Sana'a—are forced to retreat, and military positions and cities are once again surrendered.

As for the relationship between Sana'a and Riyadh, what provoked the Houthis to strike Saudi military and economic targets—both at sea and on land—was the strike on the Sana'a Airport runway last July to prevent the Iranian Mahan Air flight from landing. This was compounded by Riyadh's procrastination and stalling regarding the settlement of war-related issues, the payment of civil servant salaries, and the release of funds for Yemen's reconstruction.

The United Nations has played a prominent political role throughout the years of the war in Yemen, effectively controlling the negotiation file and steering it however it sees fit. Its envoy to Yemen, Hans Grundberg, addressed the Security Council on Friday, September 10, stating, “Yemeni demands can be addressed at the negotiation table, and in the lead-up to the escalation, I tabled proposals that offered a credible alternative. I will continue to engage the Government of Yemen and Ansar Allah to identify feasible ways forward and to keep open options for off-ramps. I will work in close partnership with regional actors, whose engagement is indispensable to help contain the situation.” He added, “What is negotiated, and what is ultimately agreed, is for the Yemenis to decide,” noting, “For years, my Office has consulted Yemenis in all their diversity.”

Beyond its economic role—having provided \$33 billion between 2015 and 2024—will the people of Iman simply stand watching amidst the international struggle over their country and its wealth? Working with Hizb ut Tahrir to establish the Second Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) has become an imperative Shariah obligation and an unavoidable necessity. The Prophet ﷺ said, «تُمْ تَكُونُ خِلَافَةً عَلَىٰ مِنْهَاجِ النَّبُوَّةِ» **“Then there will be a Khilafah (Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood.”**

The Arrogance of Netanyahu and Katz from the Summit of Mount Hermon Will Only Be Ended by the Legions of the Soldiers of Islam

By: Ustadh Nasser Sheikh Abdul Hay

On Wednesday, September 9, 2026, Netanyahu, the Prime Minister of the Jewish entity, appeared before us, pompously boasting of his supremacy and arrogance in the Mount Hermon area of the occupied Syrian territories, coinciding with an election campaign for the Knesset scheduled for next October. Wearing a protective vest, he stated in a video clip filmed at the site, “We stand here at the summit of Mount Hermon... and we control the entire region, from Mount Hermon to the Yarmouk River, and from there to the Mediterranean Sea, an absolute control that is unprecedented,” pledging never to allow “any terrorist army to establish its presence on our borders.” Meanwhile, Katz, who accompanied him, declared, “From here, we send a very strong and clear message to the President of Syria, who sits just 35 kilometers away in his palace in Damascus: We are here on Mount Hermon, we are in the security zone, and we will not move from here,” claiming that the continued presence of his forces in Syria aims to “confront threats emanating from any jihadi element.”

In response, the Syrian Foreign Ministry condemned “in the strongest terms Netanyahu's illegal entry and touring of Mount Hermon,” deeming this act “a provocative step and a flagrant

violation of Syria's sovereignty, territorial integrity, and international law." It emphasized that the continued incursions and attacks by the forces of the Jewish entity within Syrian territory represent a dangerous escalation threatening security and stability in the region. Holding the occupation fully responsible for the repercussions of these violations, it called—as is its routine—upon the United Nations, international organizations, and influential nations to assume their responsibilities in halting these aggressions and returning to the disengagement agreement. Meanwhile, Al-Shaibani, Syria's Minister of Foreign Affairs and Expatriates, stated, "We remain committed to the diplomatic solution option and are working to achieve it." He stressed that Syria will proceed with a responsible approach in accordance with international law and the UN Charter, and will defend its national rights through legitimate political and diplomatic means. As for Syria's representative to the Human Rights Council, Yasser Al-Farhan, he stated, "We call upon the Council to pay attention to uncovering the fate of Syrians forcibly disappeared by the Jewish entity and their whereabouts, and to work toward securing their release." Meanwhile, Syria's representative to the United Nations, Ibrahim Olabi, noted that "Syria's position on the continuous regional escalation has been clear, as it has repeatedly affirmed that it will not pose a threat to any of its neighbors." Furthermore, negotiation rounds with the Jewish entity mediated by the United States are well known, during which an agreement was reached to establish an intelligence-sharing mechanism amidst their mutual efforts to arrive at a broader security agreement.

Observers pointed out that the immediate objective is to obstruct any efforts aimed at reaching new security arrangements in the region, using security demands as a cover to consolidate the

occupation at a strategic location such as Mount Hermon. Analysts underscored that the occupation authorities understand only the language of force, emphasizing that concluding any agreements with them will not deter them from their expansionist ideology and occupational ambitions in the region. Furthermore, analysts viewed Netanyahu's recourse to escalating rhetoric and displaying geographical maps as an attempt to sway voters by presenting the military presence as a historic victory, leveraging the fear factor and geographical expansion as early election propaganda amid his shaky domestic political standing.

Meanwhile, the foreign minister of the Jewish entity, Gideon Sa'ar, reiterated his entity's categorical refusal of Turkish military bases in Syria, considering that to be a "red line." As for the American envoy Barrack—known for his cunning and conspiracy against Islam and the revolution of Ash-Sham—he commended Al-Shaibani's efforts and praised him, saying, "He is tireless, clear-eyed, and serious about lowering the temperature in a region that has little margin for miscalculation," expressing gratitude for having a partner who recognizes that restraint and dialogue are also manifestations of strength.

Anyone tracking the political reality in Syria realizes that the swagger and insolence of Netanyahu and his defense minister from the territory of Syria is nothing new. Instead, it is a recurring reality that meets not a single stance of dignity worthy of such a gravity-laden event. Those who have incurred wrath rampage through southern Syria day and night, roaming freely, killing, kidnapping, vandalizing, and interrogating, as if the land were theirs and the homes their own, so long as the response—at its utmost—remains mere condemnation, denunciation, and shameful complaining to the international system, which is itself

a partner to the entity in its rampage and criminality. This bully would never have acted so arrogantly in his statements had the men of Islam been present in power to say to him, “O son of a disbelieving woman, the answer is what you see, not what you hear!” He thunders and meanders about what he permits and forbids after witnessing stances of humiliation, submission, and subservience, thus climbing a treacherous height from which only sincere servants of Allah ﷺ can bring him down to size—servants who sweep through the homelands and reduce him and his fellow criminals to a mere memory of the past. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿فَجَاسُوا خِلَالَ الدِّيَارِ﴾ **“So they entered the innermost parts of the homes.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Israa’ :5].

Furthermore, anyone scrutinizing the statements of Syria’s transitional administration regarding this rampage and criminality finds them stale and repetitive with every act of arrogance and expansion by the Jewish entity, amounting to nothing more than shameful pleading with the enemies upon every aggression. May Allah ﷻ have mercy on Khlaleefah (Caliph) Harun al-Rashid and his stance, immortalized by history, in dealing with those who respect no pact of kinship or covenant of protection regarding a believer. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿لَا يَرْفُقُونَ فِي مُؤْمِنٍ إِلَّا وَلَا ذِمَّةً﴾ **“They do not respect concerning a believer any pact of kinship or covenant of protection.”** [Surah At-Tawbah 9:10].

We have said it repeatedly and we reiterate: the Jewish entity is of a people of slander and a people of treachery; they care for nothing except what serves their interests and solidifies their existence, which they recognize to be temporary. Their repeated statements about the existential danger threatening their entity are nothing but a premonition of the Ummah's coming storm that will uproot them and their followers once and for all.

Humiliating negotiations with them as a precursor to the crime of normalization and the Abraham Accords—pushed forward by Trump—are nothing but a path of disgrace, humiliation, and regret in this world before the Hereafter. America is the root of the disease and the core of affliction; it is the entity prolonging our pain and wounds to serve its own goals, interests, and policy directions. Rulers who follow in its wake reap nothing but disgrace and an evil end, as exemplified by certain rulers of Pakistan who acted as its followers and servants; the moment its interests with such tools and agents come to an end, it discards them into trash bins like used shoes. We have long said that seeking the pleasure of Allah ﷻ and seeking the pleasure of America and the West are two incompatible opposites that can never meet; is there anyone then to take heed before it is too late?! Talk of an illusory peace with a crisis-ridden entity is like a mirage which a thirsty person deems to be water. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿وَالَّذِينَ كَفَرُوا أَعْمَالُهُمْ كَسَرَابٍ بِقِيعَةٍ يَحْسَبُهُ الظَّمْآنُ مَاءً﴾ **“As for those who disbelieve, their deeds are like a mirage in a lowland which a thirsty person deems to be water.”** [TMQ Surah An-Nur 24:39].

None treads this path except a ruined transgressor who strays from the fundamental principles of the Ummah and its revolution, foremost of which is implementing Islamic governance under a state that frees the prisoners and Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa, the destination of the Night Journey (Al-Israa') of the Prophet ﷺ, restoring the blessed land of Palestine as a purely Islamic land, untainted in its sacred sanctuaries by an occupying enemy. The Jewish entity is an occupying, cowardly, and craven entity that fights us only from behind fortified walls. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿لَا يُقَاتِلُونَكُمْ جَمِيعًا إِلَّا فِي قُرَى مُحَصَّنَةٍ أَوْ مِنْ وَرَاءِ جُدُرٍ﴾ **“They will not fight you all except within fortified cities or from behind walls.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Hashr:14].

It acts recklessly only in the absence of the leader and imam of the Muslims—a leader who dispatches armies to answer the plea of a woman or a child in the east or west of the earth, a leader who unifies the scattered Muslims, consolidates their strength, and directs their energies toward what pleases Allah ﷻ and His Messenger ﷺ and brings dismay to all enemies of Allah ﷻ. We are a people whom Allah dignified with Islam; should we seek dignity through anything else, Allah ﷻ will humiliate us. We will never truly return to being the best Ummah brought forth for mankind, nor will the dignity and glory of the Deen return until the sons of the Islamic Ummah—especially the people of military power and protection among them—realize that there is no salvation for us except by re-establishing our state, the fountainhead of our strength. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿كُنْتُمْ خَيْرَ أُمَّةٍ أُخْرِجَتْ لِلنَّاسِ﴾ **“You are the best Ummah produced for mankind.”** [TMQ Surah Ali ‘Imran:110]

For the latter generation of this Ummah will never be rectified except by that which rectified its first generation: entrusting authority to those worthy of it, governing by Islam under the Islamic state despite the resistance of the enemies of Allah ﷻ. At that moment, the armies of conquest and liberation will set forth anew to convey the message of Islam in truth across the eastern and western expanses of the earth through Dawah and Jihad, and by the permission of Allah ﷻ, that day is surely coming. This is the path of salvation and the key to its door, and for such great good let those who strive for good deeds, strive. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿لِمِثْلِ هَذَا فَلْيَعْمَلِ الْعَامِلُونَ﴾ **“For the like of this let those who strive, strive.”** [TMQ Surah As-Saffat :61].

Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in the Wilayah of Syria

France and Its War on the Hijab!

Marine Le Pen, the National Rally candidate for the French presidential election, has brought the issue of banning the Islamic hijab in public places back to the forefront of political debate after pledging to submit a law criminalizing its wearing to a public referendum should she reach the Élysée Palace, amid controversy over the constitutionality and feasibility of the measure. Le Pen stated via platform X that she would present to the French people through a referendum a major law to combat Islamist ideas, containing several measures she described as strong, including the criminalization of wearing the Islamic hijab in public spaces.

The debate intensified among everyone regarding the issue, with widespread clamor in the media and on social media platforms between supporters and opponents. In the midst of this, “CNews” published the results of an opinion poll conducted by the CSA Institute, which revealed that 60 percent of French people support the idea of banning the hijab in public places. Meanwhile, a campaign spread on social media platforms like TikTok and others, in which French female influencers wore the hijab, as an expression of their rejection of the proposal, viewing it as an infringement on personal freedoms.

For years, the far right has been inciting people against what they call an “Islamic invasion,” linking the hijab in its speeches to extremism and insecurity, and declaring war on everything related to Islam, completely disregarding the concept of religious freedom, personal freedom, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and other fundamental rights.

In this context, a press release issued by the Women’s Section in the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir stated: “It is worth

noting that the political, economic, health, and security problems facing France in recent years constitute the toughest challenges it has experienced in its history. Despite this, right-wing electoral agendas do not offer serious solutions to all these problems as much as they desperately wage war on everything connected to Islam—sometimes declaring war on the abaya, at other times on mosques and associations, and at times on the hijab of mothers accompanying students on school trips, and so on!”

The release added: “The deep and real problem is that the far right, through its exclusionary and racist discourse, has succeeded to a certain extent in instilling fear in many people and portraying Islam as a bogeyman waiting for an opportunity to claim lives and eliminate freedoms. This is in addition to the media's long-standing role in distortion, misdirection, deception, and slander against Islam and Muslims; hence, the aforementioned survey showed that the vast majority of ban supporters were older people, while young people were less accepting of the proposal. Most young people have interacted with Muslims in their academic and professional lives and have come to understand how the media handles events, accessing alternative and often conflicting narratives published on social media platforms.”

It continued, “The right’s declaration of war on the hijab in France at this particular time is an electoral maneuver aimed at reaping votes and playing on sensitive emotional strings after spreading fear and lies among the people. Otherwise, the real political, economic, and security issues are far more pressing and demand serious solutions and treatments to confront the deep challenges facing the French government.”

The press release concluded by stating, “Unfortunately, Islam will remain a scapegoat on which extremists hang their failures and

the inability of their civilization to solve the successive crises hitting them and to stop the decline into which they are falling. They will always divert their efforts into conflicts outside the real arena, and they will be the losers in all cases.”

To Muslims and Their Armies: Remove the Hand of America from Your Lands!

Anyone following world events can see that news of America's encroachment in Muslim lands dominates news channels and website reports, whether its military or political encroachment. This spans from Iran and the mediations of Qatar and Pakistan, to the Lebanese negotiations, to its interventions in Syria and its cover for the aggression of the Jewish entity against it, to Yemen and the role of the Al Saud rulers in it, to Sudan and the fighting of the two proxies of the U.S. there, to Egypt and its role in Gaza, to its military bases in Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Bahrain, Kuwait, the UAE, Iraq, Syria, and Türkiye, to the movements of its envoys here and there, not to mention the statements of the US ambassador to the Jewish entity as if he speaks with the voice of the Jews, and other matters too numerous to detail here.

Accordingly, a press release issued by the Central Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir stated, "What is wrong with you, O Muslims?! The allegiance of your rulers to America and Western nations has been exposed, the real actor in your lands has become clear to you, and the plunderer of your wealth has been revealed, leaving hardly anything that still needs exposure."

The release also directed its call to the armies of Muslims, "Will you remain silent over the treasons of your incompetent, Ruwaybidah rulers, while you see them rushing to serve America, carrying out its orders, and further enabling it over your lands and wealth while your people live in abject poverty?! On top of that, your rulers feel no shame for their actions and crimes, but rather flaunt them openly; so how long will you remain silent, taking no action and speaking out against no evil?!"

The press release concluded by addressing Muslims, “There is no salvation for you from this dreadful reality—which pleases no friend and harms no enemy—except by establishing the Khilafah State on the methodology of Prophethood, which Allah Almighty has promised to His believing servants who work for it, and of which the Messenger of Allah ﷺ gave glad tidings. This is the State for whose establishment Hizb ut Tahrir works—the leader who does not lie to his people—so hasten to work with it to establish it, for in that lies the honor of this world and the Hereafter, and a greater pleasure from Allah ﷻ.”

Will the War End Soon and an Agreement Be Reached Between America and Iran?

By: Ustadh As'ad Mansour

On September 9, 2026, the spokesperson for Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC), Hossein Mohebbi, stated, "If America wants to end this situation, it must, alongside completely stopping the war, refrain from repeating threats, withdraw the "Israeli" army from Lebanon, end the blockade imposed on Yemen, release \$24 billion in frozen Iranian assets, and cease all interference in the country's nuclear and missile capabilities."

These conditions were included in the 14-point Memorandum of Understanding, which was signed by the President of Iran and the President of America on June 18, 2026. The discussion regarding nuclear capabilities was covered under Point 8 of the Memorandum, noting "The Islamic Republic of Iran reaffirms that it shall not procure or develop nuclear weapons... Blending on site under the supervision of the IAEA." Likewise, Point 12 stated that "The United States of America and the Islamic Republic of Iran agreed that an executive mechanism will be established to monitor the successful implementation of this MOU and the future compliance of the final deal."

It appears that the objection of the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC) to the Memorandum of Understanding stems specifically from these two items. This signifies American interference in Iran, as Washington will remain in coordination with Tehran to execute the agreement and maintain permanent communication until it turns Iran into a dependent state. While

the IRGX seeks independence, the stance of the politicians and their forceful defense of the memorandum reflects their willingness to return to their former status as a state orbiting in America's sphere.

For this reason, matters did not proceed as planned, and the memorandum remains fraught with landmines and open to various interpretations. On June 25, 2026, America announced that it would not release Iran's frozen funds in cash, but rather to purchase American soybeans, corn, and wheat for the benefit of the Iranian people, a proposition that Iran rejected. Consequently, on June 26, 2026, America launched its first attacks on Iranian targets following the signing of the memorandum. The IRGC retaliated with strikes against American positions in the Gulf region. Thus, began reciprocal attacks between the two sides, which have not ceased to this day. The 60-day period stipulated in the Memorandum of Understanding for reaching a final agreement has elapsed, although it provided for the possibility of an extension. Since neither party has declared its cancellation, the memorandum remains in effect. Meanwhile, America declared that it will use force and an economic blockade to compel Iran into submission while continuing negotiations to reach a final agreement.

America made control over the Strait of Hormuz a major issue, in order to project a sense of grand achievement, having failed to realize the primary objective for which it launched the war—to turn Iran into a subordinate state.

On September 10, 2026, "The Wall Street Journal" quoted informed American sources stating that Iran utilized advanced ballistic missiles capable of maneuvering during flight and targeting moving objects, with precision far exceeding previous

models. It further reported that several American military aircraft sustained damage due to strikes targeting the Muwaffaq Salti Air Base in Jordan, resulting in damage to 9 military planes. This occurred despite America firing more than 30 Patriot missiles to intercept Iranian attacks, while top advisors to Trump secretly raised the possibility that the war against Iran could persist throughout the remainder of his term. On September 12, 2026, Trump announced that he believes the war will most likely cease following the Congressional midterm elections scheduled for coming November.

This indicates that Iran possesses the capacity to sustain the war and that America has failed to achieve its objective. Trump had hoped to accomplish this goal before these elections to secure a major victory for his party, but he is now unable to halt the conflict, which may well continue until the end of his presidential term. Indeed, “The Wall Street Journal” reported on September 10, 2026, that Trump’s senior advisors secretly raised the possibility of the war on Iran continuing for the remainder of his term in office.

Trump attempted to halt the conflict by initiating negotiations, signing the memorandum, and claiming that he had destroyed most of Iran’s power and taken control of the Strait of Hormuz. However, reality refutes his assertions, forcing him to continue the war. He has likely fallen into the same quagmire as his predecessor George W. Bush, who launched two wars against Afghanistan and Iraq between 2001 and 2003, only to encounter fierce resistance from Muslims in both countries. Bush was subsequently left searching for a way to withdraw from them, making those wars a humiliation for him, his Republican Party, and America as a whole. If Trump fails to stop this war during his

term, he will leave it behind as a disastrous legacy for his successor.

Thus, America ignites wars based on false pretexts, destroying crops and livestock and spreading corruption across the land. It cares for nothing except its own interests and imposing its global hegemony.

This war has revealed that the influential force in Iran is the IRGC, which appears to be effectively leading the country. Politicians had convinced the Supreme Leader—the ultimate decision-maker—to approve their request to sign the Memorandum of Understanding. However, the IRGC maintained a temporary, reluctant silence out of respect for the Supreme Leader, who seemingly seeks to walk a fine line to maintain balance between the politicians and the IRGC.

The politicians desire to reach an understanding with America and maintain the previous policy of orbiting within America's sphere, whereas the IRGC seeks independence. When America realized that the IRGC is the dominant force, it sought to establish direct relations with it and mobilized Trump's favorite field marshal, Asim Munir—the de facto ruler of Pakistan—yet it failed in this endeavor. Consequently, America resumed launching attacks without formally declaring that it had restarted the war it initially waged against Iran on February 28, 2026, and halted after 40 days.

This war has exposed America's political methodology, as it deliberately employs deception and breaches understandings and agreements, utilizing them merely to buy time for achieving its own interests rather than honoring them, maneuvering around their execution and reneging on them whenever it sees no benefit. The U.S. reneged on the previous nuclear deal with Iran,

its agreements with Russia, and international accords such as the Climate Accord and the Open Skies Treaty, while withdrawing from numerous international organizations. Thereby, it forfeits its credibility, rendering itself an untrustworthy rogue state. Consequently, no party can feel secure regarding any agreement concluded with it.

In pursuit of its interests, the U.S. knows neither friend nor foe; it is capable of waging economic and political warfare against its own friends, as it did with Canada and Europe, while threatening to seize Greenland from Denmark and demanding the annexation of Canada. Furthermore, it fabricates lies to justify its aggression, as it did with Afghanistan, Iraq, and now with Iran.

The U.S. may also turn against its loyalists who orbit in its sphere and against its agents; it turned against its agents such as Hosni Mubarak, Bashar al-Assad, Pervez Musharraf, Suharto, and others when their people revolted against them for executing its commands. Likewise, it turned against Iran, which previously orbited in its sphere and served its interests in the region.

Therefore, the Khilafah (Caliphate) state will deal with America just as the Messenger of Allah ﷺ dealt with the Roman Empire, followed by the Khulafaa' Rashidun (Rightly Guided Caliphs), until they defeated it and expelled it from the region now called the Middle East. Subsequently, the Khulafaa' (Caliphs) after them pursued it to its very heartland in Istanbul and came close to conquering Rome, which will be conquered by the upcoming second Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) state, soon to be established by the Permission of Allah ﷻ.

The Political Crisis in Sudan and the Prospects for a Solution

By: Dr. Ahmad Abdul Fazeel

Sudan is suffering from an unprecedented and severe political crisis that worsens day after day, crippling every sector of the state, governance, and daily life. The Sudanese Pound has continued its collapse against foreign currencies, with the exchange rate reaching 7,800 Pounds per US Dollar; as a result, prices of all goods and services have surged. The head of the Bakeries Union in Sudan stated that the price of a sack of flour rose from 78 to 108 Pounds, forcing them to increase the price of bread and reduce its weight. On the security front, Sudan is witnessing the proliferation of armed entities and militias; issue number 21 of the online newspaper “Araa” reported that their number reached 100 armed movements last April, including 87 Darfuri movements and 13 movements belonging to Kordofan, the East, Blue Nile, and the Nuba Mountains. This has severely impacted security, leaving people unable to feel safe about their lives and property amidst this armed presence—the latest incident being the robbery and murder of a female doctor while returning home at night from the Military Medical Corps.

As for essential services like electricity, they have become unavailable most of the time, driving people to resort to individual solutions such as purchasing solar panels for lighting, cooling, and refrigeration to cope with the scorching heat and burning sun, after losing all hope in the restoration of power. This comes after Prime Minister Kamil Idris directed the Ministry of Finance, the Central Bank of Sudan, and the Ministry of (Social) Welfare to facilitate soft financing procedures for citizens to

purchase solar panels, which effectively forces people to turn to banks for usurious (interest-based) loans just to resolve the electricity problem. Regarding other services such as water, healthcare, and education, it suffices to point to the statement of former Minister of Health, Ma'mun Humaida, who contracted malaria and was admitted to Alia Hospital this week, where standard malaria treatments proved ineffective, leading to complications, after which he revealed that the root cause was counterfeit medication! Can we really say that a government exists in Sudan, or are there merely ghosts, headed by Burhan?!

The root cause of this political crisis lies in the international rivalry over Sudan between America and Britain. America ignited this war in Sudan when Britain pressured it following the fall of America's agent, Bashir; Britain saw an opportunity to oust the military agents of America and brought its civilian agents into power, until Burhan staged a coup against them and removed them. Britain then continued pressuring them through political actions, including marches and demonstrations, leading to the signing of the Framework Agreement that nearly ousted the military and sent them back to the barracks. This forced America to ignite this war, driving everyone abroad into displacement and exile, or to death. Despite this, the conflict did not subside; Britain convened the London Conference on 15 April 2025, co-hosted with France, Germany, the European Union, and the African Union. The final communiqué called for supporting the transition to a civilian government elected by the Sudanese people, preventing the division of Sudan, and rejecting any plans to announce parallel governments. Britain's rejection of a parallel government means rejecting the "Tasees" (Sudan Founding Alliance) government created by America, which currently controls Darfur and was formed from the Rapid Support Forces

(RSF)—the very government intended to rule Darfur upon its secession—because Britain wants civilian rule that restores its agent Hamdok, the former Prime Minister of Sudan, to power.

On the other hand, America is proceeding with its plans to separate Darfur! Massad Boulos proposed expanding Resolution 1591 regarding the arms embargo on Sudan; during his speech at a UN Security Council briefing on the situation in Sudan on 24 August 2026, America submitted a strong resolution containing four points: 1) Expanding the arms embargo to cover the entire territory of Sudan. 2) Reaffirming that unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and related systems and technologies fall within the scope of the embargo. 3) Requesting the Secretary-General to increase the number of experts on the Panel of Experts established under Resolution 1591. 4) Directing the Resolution 1591 Panel of Experts to cooperate with other panels covering the region to better monitor violations of asset freezes, travel bans, and the arms embargo. Through this, America aims to curb the Sudanese army and prevent it from advancing to liberate Darfur, so that it remains under the control of the Rapid Support Forces until its secession is announced, just as the South was separated before.

Sudan's exit from this political crisis can only be achieved by removing its root causes, which stem from two factors: first, the control of colonialist disbelievers over the political medium, whether military or civilian, inside the government or outside it; therefore, the solution lies in eliminating this political establishment and removing these rulers. The second reason is the absence of Islam from governance, and addressing this is the responsibility of the entity holding real power—the strongest force capable of executing this removal through the physical power it possesses, capable at least by strong probability; this

entity is the military. To avoid further floundering, the military must step forward to take power and hand it over to Hizb ut Tahrir, whose shebaab constitute a sincere political medium, independent of foreign agency, possessing sophisticated political ideas and solutions derived from the Islamic 'Aqeedah (creed) for all political, economic, and financial crises. This will restore Islam to governance, the state, and society, bringing back the Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) on the method of the Prophethood. We ask Allah ﷻ to honor us with it and bless our eyes with the sight of its Khaleefah (Caliph), the eminent 'alim, capable politician, and enlightened thinker, Ata Bin Khalil Abu al-Rashtah; O Lord, honor us with this sooner rather than later, for You are the Guardian of that and All-Powerful over it.

Member of the Council of Hizb ut Tahrir in the Wilayah of Sudan

The Khilafah is Salvation for Muslims and All Humanity

Allah ﷻ has blessed Pakistan with being a nuclear power having a strong army built on industrial capability, and a deep desire to please Allah Almighty and attain martyrdom. It is fully capable of becoming the nucleus for unifying the lands and resources of the Ummah to fulfill the duty of unity under the Khilafah. For example, after the martyrdom of Khaleefah (Caliph) ‘Umar (ra), the Ansar and Muhajirun did not allow the Ummah to remain without a Khaleefah (Caliph) beyond three days and nights. Therefore, it is impermissible for this Ummah to have two Caliphs, let alone to have more than fifty rulers!

On the authority of Abu Sa'id al-Khudri, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, «إِذَا بُويعَ لِخَلِيفَتَيْنِ فَأَقْتُلُوا الْآخَرَ مِنْهُمَا» **“When oath of allegiance (Bay'ah) is given to two Caliphs, kill the second of them.”**

O brave officers of the Pakistan Army: Honor and success in this world and the Hereafter lie in what pleases Allah Almighty through the implementation of His Shariah. We reject Taghut (transgressing authority) that violates the Shariah of Allah ﷻ, **قَدْ تَبَيَّنَ الرُّشْدُ مِنَ الْغَيِّ فَمَنْ يَكْفُرْ بِالطَّاغُوتِ وَيُؤْمِن بِاللَّهِ فَقَدِ اسْتَمْسَكَ بِالْعُرْوَةِ الْوُثْقَىٰ لَا انْفِصَامَ لَهَا وَاللَّهُ سَمِيعٌ عَلِيمٌ** **“Right guidance has become distinct from error. So whoever disbelieves in Taghut and believes in Allah has grasped the most trustworthy handhold with no break in it. And Allah is Hearing and Knowing.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Baqarah: 256].

So be among those who purchase the Hereafter with this world, for Allah ﷻ said, **إِنَّ اللَّهَ اشْتَرَىٰ مِنَ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ أَنْفُسَهُمْ وَأَمْوَالَهُمْ بِأَنْ لَهُمْ**

الْجَنَّةِ يُقَاتِلُونَ فِي سَبِيلِ اللَّهِ فَيَقْتُلُونَ وَيُقْتَلُونَ وَعُذًّا عَلَيْهِ حَقًّا فِي التَّوْرَةِ وَالْإِنْجِيلِ وَالْقُرْآنِ وَمَنْ أَوْفَى بِعَهْدِهِ مِنَ اللَّهِ فَاسْتَبْشِرُوا بِبَيْعِكُمُ الَّذِي بَايَعْتُمْ بِهِ وَذَلِكَ هُوَ الْفَوْزُ الْعَظِيمُ ﴿111﴾

Indeed, Allah has purchased from the believers their lives and their properties in exchange for that they will have Paradise. They fight in the cause of Allah, so they slay and are slain. It is a true promise binding upon Him in the Torah and the Bible and the Quran. And who is truer to his covenant than Allah? So rejoice in your transaction which you have contracted. And that is the great attainment.” [TMQ Surah At-Tawbah: 111]

Indeed, the Khilafah State is the hope and salvation of Muslims and humanity in general, and its establishment is an Islamic obligation in which Muslims must strive and seek its reward like the reward of the Ansar of Al-Madinah.

A Wave of Protests in Jakarta and Signs of Dissatisfaction with the Prabowo Administration

By: Abdullah Aswar

A wave of demonstrations has intensified in Jakarta throughout August and into early September 2026. For instance, on August 18, student groups organized an event at the Hotel Indonesia Roundabout under the banner “Seizing Independence.” They highlighted issues such as the prices of basic necessities and fuel, the need to combat corruption, and the evaluation of various government policies. Similar actions had taken place the previous June, involving protests against diverse government policies—including economic inequality, corruption, the weak rupiah exchange rate, rising fuel prices, tax burdens on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and opposition to the Free Nutritious Meals and the Red and White Village Cooperatives (KDMP).

A subsequent wave of protests occurred on August 27 around the House of Representatives building, involving various groups with distinct demands. One group advocated for anti-corruption measures and urged the passage of an asset forfeiture law, while another focused on economic issues and the implementation of government programs. What began as a peaceful demonstration escalated into rioting after some protesters remained at the site; authorities subsequently took security measures against individuals implicated in acts of violence and vandalism.

A key issue driving this unrest is the perception of ineffective anti-corruption efforts and a lack of accountability in the management

of state assets. Demanding the immediate passage of an asset forfeiture law is a key aspect of this agenda, as the public wants the state to possess a stronger legal tool to recover assets derived from corruption.

Beyond corruption, economic issues—such as the rising cost of basic necessities, purchasing power, wages, employment, and various economic burdens that fuel unrest—are major concerns for society under the current administration. The situation is further complicated by the impact of the Iran-US conflict, which has driven up the price of unsubsidized fuel and plastics. Additionally, the depreciation of the Indonesian rupiah against the dollar places further strain on the state budget. Indonesia also relies heavily on debt and imports a wide range of goods from abroad.

Instead of focusing on addressing strategic issues, the government is utilizing its resources to fund the President's signature campaign promise—the Free Nutritious Meals. Costing between 400 billion and one trillion rupiah daily, the program is viewed by many as a waste of public funds. Corruption has also been exposed within the program, resulting in estimated losses of 10.5 trillion rupiah. Furthermore, many of the program's providers are drawn from the inner circles of power—including the police, the military, and pro-government political parties—and stand to make substantial profits, ranging from 6 million to approximately 1.8 billion rupiah annually. Regarding education, Indonesia continues to grapple with numerous challenges, such as teacher welfare and inadequate school infrastructure—including poor road access to schools in remote areas. These issues have prompted the public and protesters to call for a review of the free school meals program.

The strengthening of the military and rising authoritarianism are also central themes in various student protests. Demonstrations across Indonesia have frequently been marred by obstruction and repressive tactics employed by security forces against protesters, including the arrest of numerous activists. Prabowo often accuses protesters of treason, labeling them as foreign agents, members of groups with ulterior motives, or agitators driven by external interests.

Meanwhile, the Indonesian military has expanded its role by establishing 100 regional development battalions in 2025, with plans to eventually cover every regency and city in Indonesia by 2029. These battalions are tasked with overseeing government programs in sectors such as agriculture, livestock, fisheries, and health. This expanded military role has been facilitated by plans to revise the law governing the Indonesian Armed Forces (TNI) under the Prabowo administration—a move the public views as a betrayal of the reform agenda, arguing that the military's mandate should be limited to national defense and security rather than involvement in managing civilian affairs. Prabowo's policies are seen as paving the way for a return to the authoritarian military-backed “New Order” regime that held power for over thirty years, from 1966 to 1998.

The issues raised regarding events throughout 2026 mirror those that emerged in 2025—following the President's inauguration in October 2024—ranging from the “Dark Indonesia” movement in early February 2025 to widespread demonstrations across the country in August 2025, culminating in major protests on August 28–30, 2025. What began as a grievance regarding perks and allowances for House of Representatives members evolved into a much broader critique of the political elite and state institutions.

Demands included parliamentary reform, budget transparency, the eradication of corruption, the passage of an asset forfeiture law, political party reform, an end to violence against protesters, and restrictions on the Indonesian military's interference in civil affairs.

Routine demonstrations by students and the public in Indonesia reflect growing dissatisfaction with the government of Prabowo Subianto and his deputy, Gibran Rakabuming Raka. This aligns with the findings of the latest survey on the prices of basic necessities, employment trends, and income uncertainty—conducted by Tempo Data Science between July 31 and August 11, 2026—which showed that only 37 percent of Indonesians are satisfied with the government's performance, while 62 percent of respondents expressed dissatisfaction.

On a scale of 1 to 10, the government's performance received a score of only 5.7. This level of satisfaction has dropped significantly since the December 2025 survey, when public satisfaction stood at 75 percent; it fell to 58 percent in March 2026 and further declined to 37 percent in August 2026. Factors contributing to public dissatisfaction with the government's performance include the cost of basic necessities, employment challenges, and income uncertainty.

As the Prabowo Subianto administration marks its two-year milestone, ongoing measures suggest a strong hope that the regime can formulate policies that benefit society at large, rather than policies serving only a select few or elites close to power. These measures are likely to persist throughout the remainder of the current administration's term, particularly given the absence of any serious intent on the part of the government to

demonstrate a genuine commitment to reforming or improving the policies already in place.

Kazakhstan Regime Continues Its War on Islam

The press office of the National Security Committee of Kazakhstan reported that, “During the period from June to August 2026, in criminal cases investigated by the National Security Committee, 19 individuals were sentenced to varying prison terms for committing terrorist and extremist crimes.” During the same period, in Astana, Almaty, and Shymkent, as well as the Akmola, Aktobe, Almaty, Jambyl, Mangystau, and Turkestan regions, 28 people were arrested as a result of security searches.

Ar-Rayah Newspaper: The Tokayev regime, which recently replaced the Nazarbayev regime, continues its policy of fighting Islam and its followers. This is because the regimes that came to power in Central Asian countries, ever since their inception following the collapse of the Soviet Union, were established specifically to prevent Islam from returning to these lands. For this exact reason, the level of pressure placed on people to prevent them from adhering to Shariah rulings is among the highest in the world in these countries. Banning beards and wearing the hijab, preventing youth from attending masjid, prohibiting the performance of Hajj before reaching an advanced age, and banning any religious celebrations—all this and more aims to prevent Muslims from returning to their Deen.

Britain Leads a European Coalition to Impose Sanctions on Netanyahu's Government

By: Ahmed Al-Khatwani

For decades, Britain has rarely taken the lead among European states in imposing public sanctions on the Jewish entity—even if those sanctions were merely symbolic. It is not Britain's custom to clash so openly with the Jewish entity; historically, it addressed the Jewish entity's actions—even those it found unacceptable—through diplomatic channels, avoiding any public confrontations.

However, the actions of Netanyahu's government appear to have reached a level of extremism that Britain—which still views itself as historically responsible for Palestine—can no longer tolerate. Britain perceived that the far-right in the Jewish entity had begun to cross what it considered “red lines.” This prompted it to adopt a decisive, public stance to halt this Zionist right-wing surge and prevent it from fundamentally altering the political reality in Palestine. What caused Britain to abandon its usual composure and diplomatic restraint was the Netanyahu government's initiation of the so-called “E1 project” to Judaize the West Bank and Al-Quds—a plan that previous “Israeli” governments had avoided implementing due to pressure from Britain and Europe.

Emboldened by its extremist members, however, the Netanyahu government took the daring step of actually launching the project, announcing a tender for the construction of 1,200 housing units in the E1 area. This move provoked Britain into imposing public sanctions against the Jewish entity, rallying twelve other states—including France, Spain, Denmark, Sweden,

Norway, Finland, Ireland, Iceland, Portugal, Poland, and Canada—to join the effort. These sanctions entail banning the import of settlement products and penalizing companies and individuals involved in financing settlement construction. These countries explicitly linked the sanctions to the construction tender, though they also cited other—albeit less critical—reasons, such as escalating settler violence against the people of Palestine and the Netanyahu government’s direct sponsorship of the ethnic cleansing perpetrated by settlers against them.

While these sanctions may appear mild, they carry significant symbolic weight. They send a serious message warning the Jewish entity against overstepping established boundaries and urge it to halt measures that fragment the West Bank—specifically, dividing the territory into zones that would make establishing a cohesive entity in Palestine nearly impossible. The aim is to keep the concept of a state in Palestine alive—or, as they term it, to implement the “two-state solution”—and to preserve the viability of an autonomous region that embodies, at the very least, a minimal expression of the right to self-determination for the people of Palestine. Britain has significantly escalated its rhetoric against the Jewish entity; Foreign Secretary Ed Miliband stated that the “Israeli” government is turning a blind eye to the ethnic cleansing carried out by settlers, describing the settlers’ actions as “ethnic cleansing” and declaring, “We refuse to be bystanders.”

In response to these harsh statements, the Jewish entity reacted aggressively toward Britain, deciding to close the British Consulate in East Jerusalem (Al-Quds) and revoke the accreditation of British diplomats within thirty days.

As for the United States, Britain had briefed it in advance on the decision to impose sanctions on the Netanyahu government. Channel 12 of the Jewish entity—citing journalist Barak Ravid, who quoted US officials—reported that the Trump administration did not oppose Britain's move to sanction the Jewish entity. The officials stated, “While we do not agree with this sanctions move, we share your frustration regarding Netanyahu’s policies in the West Bank.” US Secretary of State Marco Rubio added, “We don’t want to see anything destabilizing going on right now in the West Bank with so much going on in the region.”

The sanctions imposed by Britain and European states on the Jewish entity are certainly not intended to secure justice for the people of Palestine. Instead, their goal is to maintain British influence in the Middle East and preserve its role in the region alongside the United States. Britain aims for its policy to serve as a stabilizing mechanism for the broader Western strategy in the region. Consequently, by imposing these sanctions, Britain is betting on the premise that the Netanyahu government will fall and that new politicians will succeed in the Jewish entity’s upcoming elections, thereby reversing the settler-friendly policies—policies that find favor among Evangelicals in the Republican Party but are rejected elsewhere.

As for how the regimes in the lands of Muslims are addressing the strategic threats looming over them—and the arrogance and insolence of the Jewish entity—they have done nothing beyond their usual routine of condemnation and denunciation, or of welcoming and endorsing the decisions of influential powers. Eight key states—including Türkiye, Pakistan, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Jordan, and the UAE—welcomed the sanctions imposed by Britain and Europe; yet, these states are capable of little more

than issuing statements. Instead of adopting proactive policies and leveraging their immense military and economic power to impose sanctions on the Jewish entity themselves—at the very least—they merely applaud sanctions imposed by other states, acting as if the matter does not concern them directly.

Relying on sanctions imposed by colonialist powers will not save Muslims from remaining caught between the hammer of America and the Jewish entity, nor will it shield them from the treacherous machinations of Britain and European states.

The Islamic Ummah must rely on itself to avert dangers and confront its enemies. It can only achieve this by unifying its forces into a single entity capable of defending the collective body of the Ummah and warding off both foreign and domestic threats.

Is the Shanghai Cooperation Organisation an Organisation Established to Confront Islam?

By: Ustadh Aqeel Bik Ishaqov

On September 1st, the regular summit of the Shanghai Cooperation Organisation was held in the city of Bishkek, where the main agenda was dedicated to discussing the prospects of developing the organisation in the upcoming phase. At the conclusion of the summit, the Bishkek Declaration was signed on the occasion of the 25th anniversary of the launch of the organisation's trajectory, alongside 28 documents aimed at enhancing multilateral cooperation and developing its operational mechanisms.

As this event has drawn global public attention, it is necessary to examine the true reality and objectives of the organisation, and to evaluate it from an Islamic perspective.

The organisation began its journey under the name of the Shanghai Five in 1996, with the participation of China, Russia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Tajikistan, where these countries signed an agreement to reduce armed forces in border areas and cooperate in combating terrorism. In 2001, Uzbekistan joined, whereupon the organisation's name was changed to its current title.

With Chinese President Xi Jinping launching the Belt and Road Initiative in 2013, China began effectively utilizing the organisation to serve its own projects. Since 2015, the alliance has continued to expand with the accession of India, Pakistan,

Iran, and Belarus, bringing its membership to ten countries at present.

The organisation's member states account for nearly 35% of global Gross Domestic Product, which is equivalent to 20 trillion dollars. Their territories stretch across two-thirds of Eurasia, and they conduct joint military exercises on an almost annual basis.

This summit held in Bishkek comes at a time when China's position is strengthening, while Russia is weakening due to the war in Ukraine and amid the state of war against Iran. Ever since the attack launched by the U.S. and the Jewish entity against Iran on February 28 of this year, the Shanghai Cooperation Organisation has been unable to move beyond issuing an official statement. This is due to the inability of the organisation's member states to adopt a unified stance.

India, for example, maintains close ties with the West and the Jewish entity. Meanwhile, Pakistan strives hard to serve American interests by playing the role of mediator in the Iranian crisis. On the other hand, Russia, China, and the Central Asian states aim only to maintain their commercial relations with Iran.

The positions of the member states also differ regarding the Ukrainian crisis, as the majority of them demand the preservation of territorial integrity and a peaceful solution, which was reflected in Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi's appeal to Russian President Putin during their meeting on the sidelines of the organisation's proceedings. Added to this are the recurring border disputes between Pakistan and India on one side, and between China and India on the other.

However, there is a single objective and threat that unifies all member states of the organisation: the Islamic Ummah that is

growing in awareness day by day, and the second Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood that it seeks to establish. From this standpoint, these states are waging an unrelenting war within their territories against the unity of Muslims and their understanding of Islam. For instance, China has transformed the region of East Turkestan into an open-air prison for millions of Muslims from amongst Uyghurs and the people of Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan. There, men who perform Salah and grow beards face persecution, and Muslim women who fast and wear the hijab are detained in re-education camps. Furthermore, a campaign aimed at completely severing the younger generation from Islam and the local traditions continues unabated. China justifies all these measures as actions to combat extremism, separatism, and terrorism.

The persecution of Muslims in India by the mushrik Hindu regime, particularly in Occupied Kashmir, is no less severe than what is practiced by the Chinese regime and the Jewish entity. Incidents frequently occur in which criminals influenced by the regime's media warfare murder and assault Muslims while they perform their Salah. Moreover, the betrayal and weak stance of the Pakistani authority encourage the Indian regime to enact and enforce laws that oppress Muslims in Occupied Kashmir.

In Russia as well, Muslims face difficulties that are no less severe. Russia uses the pretext of terrorism primarily to suppress Muslims in the Caucasus. Additionally, immigrants coming from Islamic countries face strict surveillance and persecution by security services. Indeed, certain commentaries (tafaseer) of the Noble Quran and books of the Noble Hadith by various ulema have even been included in the list of extremist materials.

As for the leaders of the Central Asian states, they have begun emulating China and Russia in order to safeguard their thrones, filling prisons with Muslims under the pretext of fighting extremism and terrorism. In accordance with the demands of the Shanghai Cooperation Organisation, the regimes in the region are working to tighten laws against Islamic values and the Dawah to Islam, as well as establishing mechanisms to monitor Muslims.

Accordingly, what truly unifies the states of the Shanghai Cooperation Organisation, despite their conflicting political stances, is their hostility toward Islam and Muslims. Therefore, we Muslims must not allow Such disbelieving states to dominate our countries and assume leadership over us, nor should we permit agent rulers to continue serving the interests of these powers. Allah ﷻ has said, ﴿وَلَنْ يَجْعَلَ اللَّهُ لِلْكَافِرِينَ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِنِينَ سَبِيلًا﴾ **“And never will Allah grant to the disbelievers over the believers a way of authority.”** [TMQ Surah An-Nisa: 141].

That is, it is strictly forbidden in Shariah to enable colonialist disbelievers to dominate Muslim lands, nor is it permissible to hand over the economy, security, or decision-making authority of Muslims to these disbelievers.

Tunisia Hosting Phoenix Express 2026

Exercises: A New Chapter in Reinforcing Dependency

The Ministry of Defence announced that Tunisia will host, from September 6 to 18, the multilateral naval exercise Phoenix Express 2026, in cooperation with the US Africa Command (AFRICOM) and with the participation of around 400 military personnel and observers representing 13 sisterly and friendly countries. This hosting comes about a month after the opening of an American tender to expand a US military facility in the city of Bizerte, known as the “Naval Centre of Excellence” which includes a pier for warships and a helipad, whose construction began in 2024.

In response, the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah Tunisia emphasized the following points:

First: America is an enemy state to Islam and Muslims; its crimes in Iraq and Afghanistan are known to all, and its support for the Jewish entity in its aggression against Muslims in Gaza, the West Bank, Lebanon, Syria, Yemen, and Iran is well-known and undeniable. Therefore, military cooperation with it is prohibited (haram) in Shariah, because it constitutes cooperation with an enemy in times of war, and Allah ﷻ says, **﴿وَتَعَاوَنُوا عَلَى الْبِرِّ وَالتَّقْوَىٰ ۖ وَلَا تَعَاوَنُوا عَلَى الْإِثْمِ وَالْعُدْوَانِ﴾** **“And cooperate in righteousness and piety, but do not cooperate in sin and aggression.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Ma'idah: 2].

Second: These military exercises conducted under slogans such as “combating crime and irregular migration” and “securing maritime borders and inspecting vessels” do not serve the

interests of the Islamic Ummah nor the people of Tunisia. Instead, they primarily aim to integrate local armies into the American security and military system, turning them into a tool to protect American interests in the Mediterranean region and North Africa, and what is happening in the Strait of Hormuz is a living example of this.

Third: The Islamic Ummah faces grave challenges that require unifying its energies and armies under the banner of Islam under the Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood, so that it can confront the enemy lurking against it and its lands. Allah ﷻ has promised us victory and empowerment if we aid His cause, establish His state, and rule by His Shariah; Allah ﷻ says, ﴿وَلَيَنْصُرَنَّ اللَّهُ مَن يَنْصُرُهُ إِنَّ اللَّهَ لَقَوِيٌّ عَزِيزٌ﴾ **“And Allah will surely support those who support Him. Indeed, Allah is Powerful and Exalted in Might.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Hajj: 40].

Amid Rising Hostility Toward Muslims in the West, the Presence of Muslims Is the Greatest Gift to Their Societies

Following a successful campaign by Hizb ut Tahrir in Denmark during the month of August, targeting Muslim students in Danish high schools, to urge them to hold fast to their Islamic identity after being politically stigmatized for practicing basic Islamic rituals like prayer, fasting Ramadan, and wearing the hijab, politicians from across the parliamentary spectrum showed hostile reactions toward Muslims. The Minister for Integration, Morten Bødskov, stated unequivocally that “extreme Islamic values have no place in Denmark” and that “promoting these values is dangerous”! In parallel with the political fallout and widespread debate in the media, nationalists and far-right activists organized a public march in Copenhagen, where some participants openly called for a ban on Islam and performed Nazi salutes before passersby.

Ar-Rayah Newspaper: The far-right monster raising its ugly head in the West is of their own making, and European governments bear full responsibility for the hatred and conflict they have meticulously sown. At the same time, Muslims residing in the West, particularly the youth, are flocking to Islam in increasing numbers, carrying it as a message of mercy and a practical alternative to the misanthropic, genocidal doctrine that manifests through the facade of a crumbling Western civilization. Provided they cling to their Islamic identity and values, and carry Islam as a global message with awareness, strength, and wisdom, the presence of Muslim communities in Europe will be the greatest gift to European societies and peoples, who are being led by their

governments through deception and hatred from one crisis to another.