

Ar-Rayah Newspaper

Issue 625 (11 November 2026)

(Translated from Arabic)

Editorial: America's Plan to Tighten Control Over Syria **Page 2**

Answer to Question: Protests in Europe and the Causes of Its Economic Weakness **Page 8**

Ethiopia Declares War on Sudan, and the Government Does Nothing but Complain! **Page 21**

The Houthis Carry Out a New Arrest of a Young Member of Hizb ut Tahrir **Page 24**

Political Perspectives: The Lame Duck and Republican Losses in the Midterm Elections **Page 26**

Dimensions of Al-Zaydi's Visit to Syria and the Reshuffling of Regional Cards **Page 30**

O Sincere Officers in Pakistan's Army **Page 35**

Preparation Is Required to Terrorize the Enemies, Not for Overpowering the Ummah **Page 36**

Linking the Sudanese Pound to the Dollar: One of the Causes of Inflation **Page 38**

The Türkiye–Libya Agreement Concerning the Delimitation of Maritime Jurisdiction **Page 39**

Trump Races Against the End of His Term to Close the Sahara File **Page 44**

Indeed, the men of Ash-Sham still yearn to be governed by the Deen of Allah, to liberate Bayt al-Maqdis (Jerusalem) and every inch of the Muslim lands, and to restore the honor of this Ummah. This is not too much for them; for they are men who have grasped the meaning of fighting, who have imbibed the ideology of martyrdom, and who today lack nothing but to seize their political decision and adopt the Ummah's project under the leadership of those fit to steer the ship to the shore of safety—and this is not difficult for Allah ﷻ.

Editorial

America's Plan to Tighten Control Over Syria

By: Ustadh Ali Mustafa Al-Bakri *

An observer of America's policy in Syria realizes with certainty its persistent endeavor to tighten its influence and control over the country, to steer the transitional phase in a way that serves its interests and those of its allies, and to direct the administration of Syria so that Syria's domestic and foreign policies, its identity, and the form of its political system are shaped according to America's interests and vision. It will not enable Syria to achieve genuine political independence or the stability it claims to be seeking.

Therefore, America's demands on the new administration have followed one after another, serving its schemes for the region—the most important of which is establishing regional relationships that align with its vision. It has linked its support for this administration to a set of security and political dossiers, including combating "terrorism," protecting small ethnic groups, proceeding with security negotiations and normalization with the usurping Jewish entity, and ultimately signing the Abraham Accords.

It is no longer hidden from anyone that America exerted its utmost effort to eliminate the revolution of Ash-Sham and preserve its agent Bashar, but Allah (swt) honored the people of Ash-Sham and bestowed victory upon them. Thus, the tyrant of Ash-Sham and his henchmen fled, and yesterday's revolutionaries reached the seat of power—only to surrender

their decision-making to America. They do not oppose any command from it, nor do they refuse any request!

However, fear within America and the Jewish entity remains persistent—a fear of the spirit of Jihad among the people of Ash-Sham. Therefore, eliminating this spirit has been the primary objective that America seeks to achieve in order to solidify its control over Syria, for the mere subservience of the rulers does not guarantee that the popular base and the sincere mujahideen will not revolt, especially since they constitute the majority of the new army .

Multiple parties have shared the roles of tightening control, with Syria's new rulers playing their part to appease America. They maintained the previous constitution and man-made laws to entrench the concepts of a civil state, stripping the new state of its Islamic identity and marginalizing Shariah rulings. They limited themselves to carrying out minor legal reforms that are barely noticeable or worth mentioning, despite more than two years having passed since the fall of the Assad regime .

The new administration also deliberately retained most of the previous administrative cadres under the pretext that government departments needed their expertise and could not do without them. Many of these individuals hold important and sensitive positions, all under the guise of preserving civil peace and maintaining the diversity of the social fabric.

As for the so-called "national reconciliation," it plays a significant role in exonerating many of those who, prior to Bashar's fall, committed atrocities against the people of the revolution. These deliberate actions marginalized the revolution's support base and deprived it of its rights—the very base that sacrificed everything precious, endured the most horrific forms of

criminality, and has now begun to harbor a profound question: “Was it for this that we sacrificed tens of thousands of martyrs?”

Among the most important actions to eliminate the revolutionary spirit is abandoning all previous revolutionary slogans and goals, renouncing the constants of the revolution of Ash-Sham, and turning against it, so that man-made laws remain, all Islamic proposals disappear, and even Islamic slogans are banned—as happened when the Syrian Ministry of Defense issued a circular on 24 August 2025 stipulating that military personnel are prohibited from wearing any badges or slogans except those officially issued by the ministry .

Similarly, the outdated concept of “nationalism” has become the focal point of political discourse and the basis for political movements and stances. The issue of Palestine and what is happening in Gaza are no longer our concern; the utmost we demand is a return to the Disengagement Agreement signed with the Jewish entity in 1974 under the supervision of the then-US Secretary of State, Henry Kissinger.

It is noteworthy that a number of officials in Syria have begun using the word “crisis” instead of the term “revolution,” as a preliminary step to eliminate it from official political usage initially, to be completely abolished later, so that the concepts of revolution gradually disappear from people’s hearts. All of this is in response to American demands to eliminate the revolutionary and Islamic spirit spreading among the people of Ash-Sham—amounting to presenting credentials to win America’s favor, even at the expense of Allah’s pleasure and the sentiments of the sincere people of Ash-Sham .

On the other hand, America is trying to tighten its control over resources, especially oil and gas in Syria. Contracts have been

signed with numerous American oil companies to develop, rehabilitate, and explore for oil and gas in Syria, all under the supervision of American envoy Tom Barrack, who stated after the American company Chevron signed a contract to explore for oil and gas in Syrian territorial waters in the Mediterranean on 4 February 2026, that: "Today marks a significant milestone for the people of Iraq and for one of America's leading energy companies, Chevron. This partnership reflects strong support for @POTUS vision of promoting peace through shared prosperity in the Middle East." Additionally, many other American companies are operating in the oil and gas sector in Syria, with one company's share in the rehabilitation and operation of the Rumaila Oil Field, according to some sources, exceeding 60 percent.

It is well known that America is seeking to benefit from Syria's location as a link between Iraq and the Mediterranean, due to the importance of energy sources and their supply lines for American interests.

Nor is this all; many investments and public interests have been privatized, leading to the concentration of a capitalist economy in the country, with its resources falling into the hands of capitalists and major corporations, at a time when real guardianship for the people is absent, causing poverty and need to increase. This is a policy aimed at preoccupying people with their daily sustenance so that they accept the overturning of what they once held as established truths .

In addition to what we have mentioned, maintaining ties with international laws and institutions affiliated with the United Nations system ensures that Syria remains within the colonialist international order, while linking its economy to international

financial institutions such as the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank guarantees the subjugation of political decision-making to economic dependency.

There are also operations specifically targeting the structure of the new army. Foremost among these is what the Jewish entity is doing: destroying weapons depots, especially heavy weapons and missiles, carrying out repeated incursions into Syrian territory, and seizing land without eliciting any response or even a notable political stance .

And because the majority of the army's structure consists of revolutionaries, it became necessary to change the army's intellectual structure by imposing the idea of soldiering within national borders and carrying out operations of marginalization and exclusion against anyone who refuses to submit to that. But the more dangerous aspect is the manipulation of the army's composition, whereby military formations that do not have an orientation of Jihad are introduced into its structure, such as the SDF organization—which was fighting it just yesterday—in what is termed an "integration," all under American supervision. The president of Syria, Ahmad al-Shara', stated in an interview with The Washington Post published on 11 November 2025: "I believe the best solution is that the U.S. troops present in Syria should supervise the integration [of the SDF into the central government's security forces]."

This integration, which will also be under American supervision, is a crucial part of a comprehensive plan being carried out by all parties on the Syrian scene, so that America can complete its tightening of control over Syria .

And this cunning will only fail when the sincere ones reclaim their resolve, sever the hand of the states conspiring against us,

and place their trust in Allah (swt), who has honored us and graced us with victory. Let us strive sincerely to establish the ruling governance of Allah (swt) on earth, so that we may achieve our honor and success in this world and the Hereafter.

*** Head of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in the Wilayah of Syria**

Answer to Question

Protests in Europe and the Causes of Its Economic Weakness

(Translated)

Question:

Several European countries witnessed a new wave of demonstrations and protests on Saturday, October 31st... “France was at the forefront of the scene, while Germany saw demonstrations in dozens of cities with the participation of thousands of young people. Thousands of Portuguese took to the streets of Lisbon to protest a draft law related to rents and housing... Organizers raised slogans related to the rising cost of living, the decline in public services, and the state of education... Security forces used tear gas and water cannons, while acts of vandalism, arson of property, and looting of stores were recorded in some areas...” (Monte Carlo, 1 November 2026). These loud protests have raised many questions: How is it that these countries are unable to properly care for education within their own lands? How has the European economy weakened to the point that it cannot afford its own internal needs? And does the war in Ukraine and Trump’s war on Iran have a connection to the weakening of the European economy and, consequently, to these protests? May Allah ﷻ reward you with goodness.

Answer:

To clarify the answer to these inquiries, we will review the following matters:

First: Protests occur in many countries around the world, meaning that to the extent they are a normal phenomenon expressing people's dissatisfaction with something, similar ones have happened in European countries, such as the protests in the suburbs of France in 2005. Other protests occurred after that, but they were not characterized by violence and looting as happened this time since September 2026; rather, the protests have become recurring weekly. They happened on Saturday 31 October 2026, last Saturday 24 October, and the Saturday before that, 17 October "and the protests are distributed between France, Italy, Spain, Portugal, Greece, and Albania, with differing reasons for their outbreak, but they converge on a shared feeling of frustration and loss of confidence in government policies." (Sky News Arabia, 26/10/2026). These protests were expanding within France and extended to Belgium and Italy, then Germany followed... They were interspersed with acts of violence, looting, confrontations with police, and arrests, and they continued to spread in waves until they reached the Balkans to the east, including the Muslim country Albania, and to the west to Spain and Portugal. France was the epicenter of these protests, whose demands expanded to solving the living hardship, stopping rising prices and housing costs, among other economic and political demands... Trump was quick to accuse Islam of responsibility for them, stating: "What's happening in France is nothing less than out of control mass migration. This isn't about schools, this is about Islam wanting to take over a once great Country!" And the French President responded: "We had people claiming that we had been invaded by hordes of migrants and Islamists, which is completely false"... (CNN Arabic, 22/10/2026).

Second: To discern whether these protests are transient like others that preceded them in previous years or whether they reveal a significant weakness afflicting European states, one must look at the accumulated problems encircling the necks of these European states, such as the war in Ukraine, Trump administration policies towards Europe, competition with China, and migration issues, and how all these issues affect the conditions of these states in general and the conditions of its major states in particular. And although its major European states fell from the throne of great powers as a result of World War II and the emergence of America and the Soviet Union, the European states continued to struggle on the international stage and their voice was heard throughout the Cold War, and they remained so after the collapse of the Soviet Union, and they did not accept American unilateralism and introduced their unified currency, the Euro in 1999 after establishing it with the Maastricht Treaty in 1992 to be a competitor to the dollar. It is true that it has not been able to stand against the dollar, but it remains to this day the second international currency after the dollar. According to International Monetary Fund data for 2025, the dollar constitutes 57% of foreign central banks' hard currency reserves, while the euro constitutes 20%. Nevertheless, Europe as a whole has remained a massive economic power that competes with America and competes with China, meaning Europe has continued to constitute one of the three major pillars of the global economy. This position has enabled it to have influential political standing around the world and has allowed it to compete with America in some international arenas, even if it remained a minor competitor politically. As for the military aspect, Europe has remained, as it was during the Cold War, under America's

umbrella and its military protection, though Britain and France have retained their status as medium-sized nuclear powers.

Third: Europe has failed to compete with America, as well as with China, in the technology sector—the very sector that has taken the lead in pulling the global economy. No heavyweight technology company has emerged in any of the European countries, as is the case in America and China. All European countries have become a market for digital industries, competed over by America and China. This has begun to erode the economic capabilities of European countries. However, the advanced European economies, especially France, Britain, and Germany, have retained the backbone of their economies, represented by industry such as automobile and aircraft manufacturing. The economies of these countries continued to rely on trade in industrial products. But the war in Ukraine and the concurrent international developments have begun to dangerously overturn the balance in Europe, as follows:

1- The Russian invasion of Ukraine: Russia invaded Ukraine on February 24, 2022, from several axes and approached the capital Kyiv. Here, Europe trembled and became agitated, fearing the Russian "bogeyman," so it placed itself under the leadership of the Biden administration to help Ukraine and resist the Russian invasion. The Biden administration demonstrated strong leadership over the European countries that were gripped by panic, revealing their military weakness. This American leadership of Europe was a manifestation of Europe's military weakness—that European flaw which had not been so clearly apparent before. Reports began to seriously document the points of European military weakness: major countries like Britain possess

only a few hundred tanks, and they began pulling military pieces from their museums to support Ukraine. This indicates that some European trade in military equipment had previously covered up the profound military weakness of European countries. And when the European countries stood before the Russian military threat, America exploited them, wanting to drag them behind it and demanded that they follow America in its anti-China policy. The European countries complied, lest America leave them alone facing the Russian threat!

2- The severing of Russian energy arteries to Europe: Among the requirements for punishing Russia for its invasion of Ukraine was for Europe to cut off its Russian energy arteries. At a press conference at the White House in the presence of German Chancellor Scholz, Biden pledged to cut off Russian gas to Germany and halt the Nord Stream 2 pipeline on February 8, 2022, if Russian forces crossed the border with Ukraine, i.e., just before the Russian invasion of Ukraine. And this is what happened; indeed, the Nord Stream gas pipelines were blown up in the Baltic Sea. The European countries did not dare to oppose this American policy, and thus these countries were left at the mercy of the American wind, and their international weight diminished. As a result of this policy, European countries lost cheap Russian gas, which was the backbone of their industries. After the governments of these countries wavered between contacting Russia to dissuade it from Ukraine and searching for another gas source, they found themselves with no alternative but to rely on American liquefied natural gas (LNG), which was being supplied to them at four times the price! French President Macron said: “In a spirit of great friendship, we will say to our American and Norwegian friends: ‘You’re super, you supply us

with energy and gas, but one thing that can't go on for too long is us paying four times more than the price you sell to your industry'," Macron said. "That is not exactly the meaning of friendship." (Al-Hayat Washington website, 7 October 2022). Thus, Europe faced an energy shock: "With gas prices jumping to their highest levels since 2022 and declining inventories, the effects of the crisis extend from budgets and economies to the street, where a wave of protests against the high cost of living is widening in a number of European countries." (Al-Araby Al-Jadeed, 17 October 2026.

Fourth: And thus, this crisis struck the backbone of European industry at its very core:

"German energy giant Uniper announced yesterday, Friday, that it has been draining millions of euros daily since Russia reduced its gas supplies." (Al Jazeera Net, 9 July 2022). "According to a report published by the Wall Street Journal, the energy bill has become one of the most prominent challenges facing German companies, following years of fluctuations in the wake of the European energy crisis and rising gas and oil prices..., and these pressures have pushed about a third of companies to postpone their investment plans, while a fifth of companies are considering reducing production or moving part of their operations outside Germany, with some companies already beginning to implement these steps." (Al Arabiya, 1/8/2026). "Alice Weidel, leader of the opposition AfD party, said at a press conference in Berlin, according to Clash Report: "Germany is de facto bankrupt. Germany is broke, and they are not telling you that." (Izvestia Newspaper, 7/9/2026). "The number of corporate bankruptcies in France reached a record level during 2025, and according to the

French Central Bank, the total number of bankruptcies reached 68,564 cases over the twelve months ending in December, an increase of 3.5% compared to 2024." (The New Arab, 6/2/2026). "The British economy is suffering from a set of structural and accumulated crises that have exhausted all its productive and consumer sectors, placing it before its worst crises." (Al-Qahira Al-Ikhbariya, 19/10/2026).

Fifth: The Customs Policies of the Trump Administration: The US President Trump launched his second presidency with a fierce campaign targeting most countries of the world with high customs duties, and he did not exempt his European allies from this policy, imposing high duties on them. He later boasted about the results of his policies: "US President Donald Trump announced that automotive manufacturing companies are moving production facilities to the United States from a number of countries, including Germany and Japan, due to the customs duties imposed by his administration." (Al-Arabiya, 14/10/2026). And America adopted laws to attract European industry to itself and deprive Europe of the profits of American companies operating in Europe, especially technology companies. So, European companies began fleeing high energy prices and Trump's duties on their exports to America and started building new production lines for themselves in America: "US President Donald Trump announced on Saturday that the German group Volkswagen has agreed to establish a new factory for Audi cars in the state of Georgia, with investments he said amount to 4.2 billion dollars, defending his policy based on imposing customs duties, considering that it contributed to attracting industrial investments to the United States." (Al-Sharq, 25/10/2026). From another angle, American companies began transferring their

profits realized in Europe and registering them within America to benefit from the new tax incentives, such as the Inflation Reduction Act adopted by Congress in 2022. These American companies were consuming Europe's bounties, diminishing them and depriving European governments of their income represented by the tax revenues on the activities of those companies within Europe, thus increasing the aridity of European lands. A report published by Deutsche Welle, 25 October 2026, estimated that "public budgets in Europe lost tax revenues of no less than 86.4 billion euros as a result of these transfers."

Sixth: The Trump administration's disavowal of protecting Europe: With the arrival of the Trump administration, the American president began disavowing his European allies and demanding they increase military spending. Europe realized, in the wake of all this, the extent of its predicament with America amidst the war in Ukraine. The Trump administration began flirting with Russia in a way that threatened to leave the European countries alone on the Ukrainian front, and it began brazenly demanding that European countries foot the bill for supporting Ukraine. At a time when European military industries cannot meet the needs of the Ukrainian front, there is no alternative but to rely on the American military-industrial complex to keep Ukraine standing against Russian attacks. President Trump boasted to his people and to Russia that his administration had halted its military aid to Ukraine, and that it was merely selling weapons and ammunition to Europe and leaving it to them to transfer them to Ukraine! This exacerbated the crises of the European countries... as if their American ally was abandoning Ukraine and demanding that Europe provide for its own security in the face of the Russian threat! Before this, and after Russia's invasion of Ukraine, Europe

had been cutting hundreds of billions of euros from its prosperity and allocating them to its armies, purchasing American weapons, and developing its military-industrial infrastructure. After Trump cast doubt on its protection, the European countries no longer knew what to do with their support for Ukraine, let alone with their security in the face of the Russian threat.

Seventh: The American war on Iran: In addition to waging war against Iran at the beginning of 2026 in partnership with the Jewish entity, US President Trump had also demanded—in a humiliating manner—that European countries participate in this war. These countries refused to participate in a war in which they were not consulted. The US President escalated his threats to the European countries, stating that if they did not help America—meaning they were of no use in times of hardship—then how could America defend them, and why would America ally with these useless countries! And when Iran closed the Strait of Hormuz and Gulf oil was cut off, it was a heavy sledgehammer that Trump used to strike Europe as well as China, and he would declare and boast that America does not import Gulf oil and is not affected by its interruption:

"American President Donald Trump said that the Strait of Hormuz must remain open at all times, stressing that the countries that rely on passing their oil through it are the ones concerned with participating in its defense and fighting to ensure its security. He also called on the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and China to contribute to efforts to reopen the Strait and secure navigation in it. Trump added that the United States gets nothing from the Strait of Hormuz." (Al Jazeera Net, 19 March 2026). And in a preliminary estimate by the French

Jacques Delors Institute in 28 April 2026, the rise in oil prices due to the closure of the Strait of Hormuz caused massive losses to European economies' economies amounting to 39.6 billion euros in the first two months of the closure: “The President of the European Commission, Ursula von der Leyen, said that the European Union paid an additional 90 billion euros for imported fossil fuel since the crisis began in the Strait of Hormuz.” (Arab 48, 16/9/2026), in addition to the stormy crises suffered by European oil refineries after the cutoff of Russian diesel fuel due to the Ukrainian war and its cutoff from Arab countries and India after the war on Iran.

Eighth: Under the impact of these continuous, heavy-caliber strikes, France, along with the European countries, despite appearing as donor nations in some regions around the world, is economically drowning. As its economy sinks, its international standing is eroded daily, leaving it with few resources to spend on welfare within its own countries in the face of the flood of energy prices, the flood of corporate bankruptcies and the resulting unemployment, and an even greater flood of military expenditure that America forces upon these countries and punishes them for falling short in. Under all these conditions, the eruption of protests, the shift to the right, and their transformation into violent acts has become the dominant reality, given these governments’ inability to allocate large sums for the welfare of their peoples—a welfare those peoples had grown accustomed to over many decades. Thus, with the loss of economic strength and the rise of nationalist right-wing currents—i.e., political conflict within society—the protests, their instigation, and their exploitation all make these protests violent on one hand, and on the other, make it more likely that they are a continuous chain

rather than a passing event as was the case in past years. These protests in France and other European countries reveal a real weakness in Europe, a weakness that the efforts of European governments have failed to halt; rather, it is escalating due to ideological failure, political failure, and the continuous economic strikes overseen by America, in addition to Chinese competition. That is, Europe's familiar face, etched in the mind for decades, has begun to change at an accelerated pace in recent years and is approaching its true, aged face. Europe is weakening day by day, and its crises could worsen in the foreseeable future.

Ninth: And thus, the world today is led by disbelieving, wicked, and unjust states that place their evil interests above any consideration... Their positions change even with their allies from one moment to the next, and this is not for a good they perceive but for an evil they perpetrate... They are reviving the first Jahiliyyah (Age of Ignorance), indeed they are closer to being worse than the Jahiliyyah! And just as Persia and Rome were pushed aside back then and the earth was illuminated with the light of Islam, so too shall it return, by Allah's permission. Muslim reported in his Sahih: Abu Rabi' al-'Ataki and Qutaybah bin Sa'id narrated to us, both from Hammad bin Zayd, and the wording is from Qutaybah: Hammad narrated to us from Ayyub from Abu Qilabah from Abu Asma' from Thawban who said: The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said: **«إِنَّ اللَّهَ زَوَى لِيَ الْأَرْضَ فَرَأَيْتُ مَشَارِقَهَا وَمَغَارِبَهَا وَإِنَّ أُمَّتِي سَيَبْلُغُ مُلْكُهَا مَا زُوِيَ لِي مِنْهَا»** **"Indeed, Allah folded the earth for me so I saw its eastern and western reaches, and surely the dominion of my Ummah will reach as far as was folded for me from it..."**, and the Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) will return anew after this oppressive rule under which we live, and it will spread good throughout the regions of the world, by

the permission of Allah, the Strong, the Mighty, and then through the efforts of the Shabab (members) of Hizb ut Tahrir, the sincere dawah carriers. Ahmad reported in his Musnad that Hudhayfah said: The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said: **«ثُمَّ تَكُونُ مُلْكاً جَبْرِيَّةً فَتَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونَ، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ خِلَافَةً عَلَى مِنْهَاجِ النُّبُوَّةِ»** **"Then there will be an oppressive rule (ملكا جبرية), and it will be for as long as Allah wills it to be, then He will remove it when He wills to remove it, then there will be a Khilafah (Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood (خلافة علي منهاج النبوة)"** then the Messenger ﷺ fell silent. And the statements of those with diseased hearts and minds do not harm these truths, as Allah ﷻ said **﴿غَرَّ هَؤُلَاءِ دِينُهُمْ﴾ "Their religion has deluded them"** [TMQ Surah Al-Anfal: 49]. For their like had said similar things before when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ gave glad tidings of the extension of Islam's authority to the lands of Persia and Rome. It is mentioned in Majma' al-Zawa'id by Abu al-Hasan Nur al-Din al-Haythami (d. 807 AH): (ثُمَّ مَشَوْا إِلَى الْخَنْدَقِ، فَقَالَ رسول الله ﷺ: **«اذْهَبُوا بِنَا إِلَى سَلْمَانَ، وَإِذَا صَخْرَةٌ بَيْنَ يَدَيْهِ قَدْ ضَعُفَ عَنْهَا، فَقَالَ النَّبِيُّ ﷺ لِأَصْحَابِهِ: دَعُونِي فَأَكُونَ أَوَّلَ مَنْ ضَرَبَهَا، فَقَالَ: بِسْمِ اللَّهِ، فَضَرَبَهَا فَوَقَعَتْ فِلْقَةٌ ثُلُثِهَا، فَقَالَ: اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ، قُصُورُ الرُّومِ وَرَبِّ الْكَعْبَةِ، ثُمَّ ضَرَبَ أُخْرَى فَوَقَعَتْ فِلْقَةٌ، فَقَالَ: اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ قُصُورُ فَارِسَ وَرَبِّ الْكَعْبَةِ»،** فَقَالَ عِنْدَهَا الْمُنَافِقُونَ: نَحْنُ بِخَنْدَقٍ عَلَى أَنْفُسِنَا وَهُوَ يَعِدُنَا قُصُورَ فَارِسَ وَالرُّومِ. رَوَاهُ الطَّبَرَانِيُّ، وَرِجَالُهُ رِجَالُ الصَّحِيحِ غَيْرَ عَبْدِ اللَّهِ بْنِ أَحْمَدَ بْنِ حَنْبَلٍ، وَنُعَيْمٍ الْعَنْبَرِيِّ، وَهُمَا ثِقَتَانِ)"...then they went to the trench, and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said: "Let us go to Salman," and there was a rock before him that he was struggling with. So the Prophet ﷺ said to his Companions: **"Leave me so I may be the first to strike it." He said: "In the name of Allah" and struck it, and a third of it broke off. He said: "Allahu Akbar, the palaces of Rome, by the Lord of the Ka'bah!" Then he struck another blow and another piece broke off. He said: "Allahu**

Akbar, the palaces of Persia, by the Lord of the Ka'bah!". At that, the hypocrites said: We are in a trench fearing for our lives, and he is promising us the palaces of Persia and Rome. At-Tabarani narrated it, and its narrators are the narrators of Sahih except for Abdullah bin Ahmad bin Hanbal and Nu'aym al-Anbari, and they are both trustworthy," and this is what was and came to pass despite what the hypocrites said, for the saying of the of the truthful one, Muhammad ﷺ, is the truth, ﴿وَمَا يَنْطِقُ عَنِ الْهَوَى * إِنْ هُوَ إِلَّا وَحْيٌ يُوحَى﴾ **"Nor does he speak from his own inclination. It is not but a revelation revealed."** [TMQ An-Najm: 3-4], and therefore the Khilafah (Caliphate) will return anew and Islam will spread throughout what Allah ﷻ has promised His Messenger ﷺ. **"And that day the believers will rejoice. In the victory of Allah. He gives victory to whom He wills, and He is the Exalted in Might, the Merciful"** [TMQ Ar-Rum: 4-5].﴿وَيَوْمَئِذٍ يَفْرَحُ الْمُؤْمِنُونَ * بِنَصْرِ اللَّهِ يَنْصُرُ مَنْ يَشَاءُ وَهُوَ الْعَزِيزُ الرَّحِيمُ﴾

22 Jumada al-Awwal 1448 AH

02 November 2026 CE

Ethiopia Declares War on Sudan, and the Government Does Nothing but Complain!

On Sunday, 1/11/2026, the Government of Sudan lodged a complaint against Ethiopia with both the United Nations Security Council and the African Union Peace and Security Council, against the backdrop of its attacks on civilian sites, facilities, and electricity stations, in a number of Sudanese states. It demanded that Ethiopia stop these hostile acts, and also urged the international system to carry out its role in applying international law to Ethiopia.

The Transitional Sovereignty Council also confirmed that drones had been launched from Ethiopian territory towards the country. It said: "We documented the launch of 187 drones from Ethiopia towards Sudan, between 15 February and 27 October." The Sovereignty Council also stated that the drone attacks coming from Ethiopia killed 484 civilians and 76 soldiers.

In light of this reality, the official spokesperson of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Sudan, Ustadh Ibrahim Othman (Abu Khalil), said in a press statement: "What Ethiopia has carried out is blatant aggression launched from within its territory through drones that kill innocent people, destroy the state's infrastructure, and disrupt people's interests. By the admission of the highest authority in Sudan, this would not have happened had the Government of Sudan dealt with Ethiopia at least on a reciprocal basis."

Ustadh Abu Khalil emphasized the following points:

"**First:** What Ethiopia has done, and continues to do, in aggression against our country, is considered a declaration of war against

Sudan. The basic principle is that it should be met with the response it deserves, both according to the Islamic Shariah and according to law. From the Islamic legal perspective, Islam has granted the right to reciprocal treatment in the event of aggression. Allah ﷻ says: ﴿الشَّهْرُ الْحَرَامُ بِالشَّهْرِ الْحَرَامِ وَالْحُرُمَاتُ قِصَاصٌ فَمَنِ اعْتَدَى عَلَيْكُمْ فَاعْتَدُوا عَلَيْهِ بِمِثْلِ مَا اعْتَدَى عَلَيْكُمْ وَاتَّقُوا اللَّهَ وَاعْلَمُوا أَنَّ اللَّهَ مَعَ الْمُتَّقِينَ﴾ **"The sacred month is for the sacred month, and for the prohibited things there is retribution. So whoever transgresses against you, then transgress against him in the same way that he has transgressed against you. And fear Allah, and know that Allah is with the God-conscious"** [Surah Al-Baqarah: 194].

From the legal perspective, Article 51 of the Charter of the United Nations grants the right of response to any state that has been subjected to aggression. So why do the rulers of Sudan not take any action?!

Second: What has encouraged Ethiopia to persist in its aggression is the weak position of the Sudanese government, which does nothing but complain, in a world that does not respect the weak.

Third: The Sudanese army is capable of responding, and it has the strength, drones, and aircraft that would enable it to make Ethiopia regret its action. But unfortunately, the army's leaders are restraining it. Here, there are question marks over this disgraceful position!!

Fourth: The government has not taken even the simplest measures that could be taken under such circumstances, such as severing diplomatic relations, preventing Ethiopian aircraft from flying in Sudanese airspace, and other measures. Rather, matters are proceeding as though nothing has happened! This is another question mark.

Fifth: The disgraceful position and the complete concealment by the political mercenaries in our country, and the connected media figures, who remain silent about speaking the truth. The one who remains silent about the truth is a mute devil!"

Ustadh Abu Khalil also affirmed that: "The people of Sudan are part of the Islamic Ummah, which does not accept humiliation and degradation. It is the Ummah of honour and the Ummah of goodness. This goodness and this honour will only exist under a principled state established on the basis of the great principle of Islam: a Khilafah Rashidah (rightly guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood. Its Caliph will say to the enemies: "The answer is what you see, not what you hear."

Abu Khalil concluded his statement with a call to the people of strength and resolve: "Give the support (Nusrah) to Hizb ut-Tahrir, so that it may restore it as a Khilafah Rashidah (rightly guided Caliphate) on the method of the Prophethood; one in which Islam and its people are honoured, and disbelief, polytheism, aggression, and their people are humiliated; and the world sees great rulers who safeguard the interests of the Ummah and make its enemies forget the whispers of Satan. For such a thing, let those who work, work."

The Houthis Persist in their Injustice by Carrying Out a New Arrest of a Member of Hizb ut Tahrir

On Tuesday, October 28, 2026, Houthi security apparatuses in the city of Amran arrested Saif Marzouq—a member of Hizb ut Tahrir—at his workplace. This marks the second such arrest in October 2026, following the detention of Muhammad al-Rubu'i and his brother, Habib, on October 13, 2026—both of whom remain in custody to this day. This occurs despite the Houthis' persistent claims that their prisons hold no political detainees!

In this regard, a press release from the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Yemen stated: "The work undertaken by the Shebaab of Hizb ut Tahrir centers on educating people about the rulings of Islam and rejecting systems that contradict it—such as the republican system, which the disbelievers work tirelessly to maintain and enforce upon the people of Yemen. It involves applying Islamic legal rulings to their proper contexts, with the ultimate goal of resuming the Islamic way of life under the second righteous Caliphate (Khilafah), established upon the prophetic methodology—a state that would unite all Muslims under its banner, the "Banner of the Eagle" (Liwa' al-'Uqab). This endeavor has deeply troubled the Houthis, exposing how far removed they are from the rulings of Islam. It has laid bare the falsity of the claims surrounding their so-called "Quranic Movement" and revealed their distance from the righteous Caliph, Ali ibn Abi Talib (may Allah be pleased with him)—whom they claim to follow—who famously said: "Truth is not known by men; rather, know the truth, and you will know its people."

Here, we address the Houthis—specifically those among them who possess reason—and remind them: Arrests will not deter the youth of Hizb ut Tahrir from their mission, for we are fulfilling a duty enjoined upon us by Allah. The awareness and strength of will that stem from the necessity of achieving our ultimate goal—the establishment of the Khilafah upon the methodology of the Prophethood—compel us to remain steadfast and continue our work, regardless of what may happen or how great the sacrifices may become. Such actions and arrests only serve to deepen our resolve. We seek our reward from Allah, who has prepared a magnificent recompense and the attainment of Paradise for those who remain steadfast upon the truth and call others toward it."

The Lame Duck and Republican Losses in the Midterm Elections

On November 4, 2026, The Atlantic published an article titled "The End of the Trump Era," describing him as a "lame duck"—a political term for a U.S. president who has lost an election and cannot run again, or whose party has lost control of Congress, making it increasingly difficult to pass legislation.

In truth, since assuming the presidency, Trump has behaved like a duck on a blind charge. Although his campaign called for peace and an end to wars, he began his term with tariff wars declared on both allies and adversaries alike. He supported the Jewish entity in the war on Gaza, sought to annex Canada to the United States, attempted to seize Greenland, proposed turning Gaza into a Riviera, attacked Venezuela and took its president captive to America, and finally declared war on Iran—only to choke in the Strait of Hormuz. Thus, Trump's reckless actions, coupled with his weak and subservient administration, led to this inevitable outcome: defeat for his party and a resounding victory for the Democrats.

It is true that U.S. presidents do not deviate from the fundamental strategies of the major power, and what Trump and his party did served the interests of the American state and its major capitalists. However, Trump's method of implementation failed to achieve those objectives, so his loss amounted to a punishment for him and his party for failing to secure the interests of the major power.

Following this defeat, the Democrats will now pressure the Trump administration on the issues where he failed. Congress will push to stop the war in Iran and force him to replace failed figures in his administration, such as the Secretary of War and Trump's negotiation envoy, Elliott Abrams, among others whose weakness has been exposed. The matter may even escalate to criminal investigations into corruption within the current administration, potentially leading to imprisonment—all to convince the American public and nations worldwide that change is underway, that the failed administration is on its way out, and that the "sincere" Democrats have come to power to rectify the corruption and ruin left by Trump. And so, the electoral theater and the exchange of roles among America's politicians continue, serving the interests of the influential at the expense of the American people and the peoples of the world.

America has realized that the war must be stopped after failing to subdue Iran, but it cannot exit as the defeated party without securing gains. Therefore, it is not unlikely that the butcher Trump will launch a military strike against Iran before his party's exit in January 2027, to force Iran to accept America's conditions regarding nuclear weapons and reduced regional influence. This war has had massive negative repercussions for America, first and foremost shaking its global standing, especially in the Middle East. Its allies and agents in the Gulf have begun to grumble and rebel due to Iran's strikes, and the Jewish entity has been dealt blows that stripped it of prestige. America's allies in Europe have started slipping from its leash and exiting its house of obedience. Above all, its political opponents and enemies have begun positioning themselves to dislodge it from the throne of international leadership. A change in policies, a reshuffling of

faces, and the offering of concessions, if necessary, are therefore inevitable.

America's decision-makers have realized they have entered a phase of weakness and decline, and that their train is nearing its final station. However, the nature of pragmatic capitalist ideology prevents politicians from admitting defeat or acknowledging the truth. Thus, they strive with all their might to change this reality and reverse the wheel of history. They brought in a man like Trump—known among politicians as a clown rather than a statesman—yet who enjoys overwhelming popularity. They supported him, backed him, furnished him with the means of power, and established the movement of "MAGA" ("Make America Great Again)." This slogan itself is an admission that America is no longer as great as it once was. But in their attempts to salvage the situation and repair what time has spoiled, Trump arrived with his baggage—arrogance, haughtiness, and pride—to make America great again as they wished. Yet his failure was evident, and instead of saving America from decline, he pursued its ruin. As the Arabic proverb goes, "Instead of applying kohl to her eyes, he blinded her!"

Now Trump's party exits the political scene disgraced and defeated, suffering a bitter and painful loss. It is also likely to lose the upcoming presidential elections, bringing in a new Democratic president to repeat the cycle once again—but with a new face.

The Republicans—supporters of the Jewish entity and its backers; the Republicans who ignited wars, raised prices, increased inflation, and brazenly fought Islam—will depart. In will come the Democrats, who support the Jewish entity with a bashful eye and ignite wars, but "for the sake of peace!" They lower prices and

raise taxes, curse corruption yet does not stop a thief's hand, fight Islam but with a gentle face, support freedom of expression and bring Muslims into Congress "as if they were conquerors" and open doors for advocates of homosexuality and deviance to mix matters and drown the people of truth in waves of falsehood. This is democracy in its true face and its tattered garb.

Yes, the unhinged Trump—as described by former White House advisor Omarosa Manigault Newman in her book "Unhinged: An Insider's Account of the Trump White House"—will depart, and the Republicans will go. In will come the Democrats as "the saviors" to set things right. And so the wheel turns, two faces of the same coin. As the Arabic proverbs warn, "Vinegar is the brother of mustard," and "Shihab al-Din expels gas even louder than his brother."

May Allah save humanity from these evils, restore right to its people, and grant the Ummah of Islam victory and empowerment by establishing its second Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood—the promise of Allah ﷻ and the glad tidings of His Messenger ﷺ.

Dimensions of Al-Zaydi's Visit to Syria and the Reshuffling of Regional Cards

By: Ustadh Ahmed Al-Sourani

The visit of the Prime Minister of Iraq, Ali Falah al-Zaydi, to Syria on 28 October 2026 marked a significant milestone in the reordering of relations between the two countries, following the tensions that prevailed after the fall of the Assad regime. This visit cannot be viewed in isolation from regional transformations and the international struggle for influence in the region, nor from America's efforts to redraw the map of alliances and political, security, and economic relations in the lands of Muslims—all to ensure the continuation of its hegemony over the region and its control over its resources, wealth, and strategic position.

Observers believe the visit primarily focused on three main areas: political, economic, and security. Al-Hurra channel and others reported, citing sources within the Coordination Framework and those close to al-Zaydi, that the U.S. Special Envoy to Iraq and Syria, Tom Barrack, was directly responsible for arranging and coordinating the visit. If accurate, this clearly reveals the extent of American interference in directing relations between countries in the region and raises serious questions about the true independence of these nations' political decision-making, and the degree to which their actions remain subject to American calculations and designs .

On the political front, America seeks to reshape the balance of power in the region, increase Iran's isolation, reduce its influence and that of its proxies in Iraq and Ash-Sham, and work toward

establishing a regionally based axis with Western alignment—comprising Iraq, Syria, and Jordan, along with affiliated forces in Lebanon—thereby limiting Iran's ability to influence events in the region.

Thus, these moves are not merely efforts to improve relations between neighboring states; rather, they form part of a broader struggle for influence among international and regional powers. America is working to rearrange the region's circumstances to serve its interests, employing existing regimes to implement its policies—whether through political agreements, economic partnerships, or security arrangements. Ultimately, the lands of the Muslims remain an arena for settling scores and exchanging influence, while the right of the Ummah to control its own decisions and determine its destiny remains absent .

On the economic front, America seeks to open alternative routes for transporting oil of the Gulf and Iraq through the territory of Syria, ensuring the continued flow of energy supplies and reducing dependence on the Strait of Hormuz—one of Iran's most significant pressure levers. The importance of these routes has grown amid disrupted navigation and the energy crisis resulting from America's war on Iran and the ensuing broad economic repercussions .

Al-Zaydi and Ahmed al-Shara' also discussed the project to rehabilitate the Kirkuk–Baniyas pipeline, alongside developing trade corridors and regional connectivity, which would provide Iraq with additional outlets for exporting its oil via the Mediterranean Sea. The talks also addressed cooperation in trade, agriculture, transportation, and investment.

Here, one must ask: Do these projects aim to achieve true economic independence for the lands of the Muslims, or are they

merely redistributing energy and trade routes to serve the interests of international powers, foremost among them the United States? The wealth that Allah ﷻ has placed in the lands of the Muslims should serve the Ummah and its interests, not become bargaining chips in the struggles of major powers or tools to enhance their influence and hegemony over the region's resources. Moreover, altering oil export routes—even if it brings immediate economic gains—does not necessarily mean liberation from dependency, so long as economic and political decision-making remains subject to external influence .

On the security front, the Transitional Authority in Syria has joined the international coalition to combat terrorism. Additionally, thousands of detainees affiliated with the ISIS organization have been transferred from prisons managed by the SDF (Syrian Democratic Forces) to Iraq, as part of security arrangements overseen by the United States. The U.S. is seeking to expand security coordination between parties that were previously at odds, including the Popular Mobilization Forces, which was one of the most prominent groups and militias supporting the now defunct Bashar Al-Assad regime.

This was evident in the official negotiations that took place in Damascus between al-Zaydi and Ahmed al-Shara', where an agreement was reached to arrange a visit by a high-level Iraqi security delegation to Syria. The delegation will include representatives from security agencies and the Popular Mobilization Forces to follow up on border security files and develop mechanisms for cooperation and coordination .

This development raises unavoidable questions: How do forces that were once opposing parties in the conflict become co-operating participants in joint security arrangements? Who

determines the nature and objectives of these understandings? And is this being done based on the interests and security of the people of the region, or according to American calculations aimed at managing conflicts and repurposing existing forces to serve its strategy?

The United States, which led the international coalition and intervened militarily and politically in Iraq and Syria, does not act out of altruism for the peoples of the region. Rather, it operates according to its colonialist interests and insists on remaining the ultimate arbiter in shaping security and political arrangements. It is a mistake to view security coordination in isolation from this reality, or to allow counterterrorism to serve as a pretext for entrenching foreign influence and subjugating the lands of Muslims to the will of international powers .

Of course, the discussions were not limited to political, economic, and security issues; they also included activating and facilitating religious visits to Shia shrines in Syria, while exploring the formation of a committee to study the necessary arrangements for facilitating these visits and ensuring the security of the visitors.

This issue can be viewed as part of a broader path toward normalizing relations between the two countries and restoring patterns of relations that existed during the socialist Ba'thist regime .

Moreover, this path raises legitimate concerns among the people of the revolution in Syria, who made immense sacrifices to overthrow the Assad regime and took to the streets demanding change and liberation from tyranny and dependency. It is unacceptable for their sacrifices to become merely a fleeting stage, only for the country to revert to the very same policies and

alliances they rebelled against—even if the faces and slogans have changed.

The greatest danger revolutions face is being co-opted and stripped of their substance, with the political system they rose against being reproduced—merely changing some faces and adopting new slogans. When the people of the revolution see the transitional authority reopening doors to the forces and alliances tied to the former regime and reweaving relationships based on the same international balances, this can breed profound disappointment and weaken people's confidence in their ability to achieve the change for which they shed blood and made sacrifices.

The issue of the Ummah is not about improving relations between fragmented entities whose borders were established by colonialism, nor is it about choosing between American influence and Iranian or other influences. Rather, it is about liberation from subservience to all colonialist powers and restoring the Ummah's independence and its authority in decision-making. This can only be achieved through genuine political unity that unifies the lands of the Muslims, restores the Ummah's standing, establishes Islam as the foundation of ruling governance, politics, and relations, and blocks the path of all those who wish to keep it divided, its wealth plundered, and its decisions held hostage.

Therefore, the fruit of revolutions should not be the rearrangement of colonialist influence in our lands, but rather the beginning of the Ummah's liberation (tahrir) from its hegemony, the restoration of its unity and independence, and the establishment of the Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood—through which it regains its dignity, controls its own decisions, and safeguards its resources.

O Sincere Officers in Pakistan's Army

O Sincere Officers in the Armed Forces of Pakistan! You have seen with your own eyes the allegiance of your rulers and military commanders to the Jews, Christians, and the Hindu mushrikeen—they prevented you from aiding your people in the Blessed Land of Palestine and from liberating the third of the three Sacred Masajid, Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa. The Jews continue to wreak havoc in the Blessed Land of Palestine, and you witness this with your own eyes. Yet your rulers and military commanders have not stirred, nor have they sent even a thousand or forty thousand to aid our people in the Blessed Land of Palestine. And you know that fighting the cowardly Jews, killing them, and liberating Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa does not require all these tens of thousands of our brave mujahideen soldiers. Yet, here they are sending our soldiers to be killed under the banners of the disbelieving colonialists—Americans and Jews. So, what excuse will you offer before Allah ﷻ when He questions you about your abandonment of Islam and Muslims and your silence toward your traitorous commanders? By Allah, the disgrace to which the rulers of Pakistan and its military commanders have brought you can only be cleansed by overthrowing these Ruwaibadah, insignificant, incompetent men, and giving military support (نصرة nussrah) to Hizb ut Tahrir to establish the Khilafah (Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا يُقَاتِلُونَ فِي سَبِيلِ اللَّهِ وَالَّذِينَ كَفَرُوا يُقَاتِلُونَ فِي سَبِيلِ الطَّاغُوتِ فَقَاتِلُوا أَوْلِيَاءَ الشَّيْطَانِ إِنَّ كَيْدَ الشَّيْطَانِ كَانَ ضَعِيفًا﴾ **"Those who believe fight in the cause of Allah, and those who disbelieve fight in the cause of Taghut (طاغوت transgressing authority). So, fight against the allies of Satan. Indeed, the plot of Satan has ever been weak**" [TMQ Surah An-Nisaa: 76].

Preparation Is Required to Support the Deen and Terrorize the Enemies, Not for Show of Force and Overpowering the Ummah

The Turkish Ministry of Defense reached an agreement with Syria to establish a joint roadmap for developing the Syrian naval forces, including ship maintenance and modernization, building new ships, developing port infrastructure, and naval training. The agreement followed a visit by a Turkish military delegation to Latakia, during which field inspections were conducted to assess the needs of the ports and the Syrian naval forces.

Indeed, preparation is required, but to build an army that possesses the decision to defend its land and sanctities against enemies, such as the occupation of the Jewish entity over vast territories in southern Syria, where it is building fortifications and corrupting the lands there, in addition to the duty of liberating Al-Aqsa and the rest of the Muslims' sanctities, and lifting the humiliation and degradation that has befallen the Ummah of Islam, and Gaza in particular, at the hands of the Jews, as a result of the failure of these huge armies, following the absence of Islamic rule from the reality of life, the state, and society.

What we need today is to reclaim decision-making from the international system and the conspiring states, so that the state becomes a sovereign independent entity, where sovereignty belongs to the Shariah of Allah ﷻ and authority belongs to the Ummah of Islam, so that it takes ideological and resolute stances, not stances of condemnation and disgraceful submission, and so

that it carries out the Shariah obligation of supporting the Muslims and does not fail them due to rotten nationalist claims.

Linking the Sudanese Pound to the Dollar: One of the Causes of Inflation

Under this title, Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Sudan held a political address in the Grand Market in the city of Port Sudan on Monday, 22 Jumada al-Awwal 1448 AH, corresponding to 2/11/2026 CE.

In it, Ustadh Ibrahim Musharraf, a member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Sudan, spoke, clarifying the importance of adhering to the Shariah rulings in the life of Muslims, stating that Islam has specified particular rulings for the state's currency, and enumerating the Shariah texts that indicate this.

Then he said: "The linking of Sudan's currency to the dollar has led to the depreciation of the local currency, which negatively impacted the prices of goods and services and caused them to rise. Ustadh Ibrahim Musharraf said: Gold and silver are distinguished by strength and stability, which makes the currency strong and stable, and Islam made the currency gold and silver, or based upon them, and Islam built many of its rules and linked them to gold and silver, and Muslims must adhere to this."

Then Ustadh Musharraf called upon the attendees to strive for the application of these Shariah rulings and others in the lives of Muslims by working with the pioneering Hizb ut Tahrir, whose people do not lie, to establish the second Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood.

The Türkiye–Libya Agreement Concerning the Delimitation of Maritime Jurisdiction Areas in the Mediterranean Continental Shelf

By: Ustadh Ahmed Al-Muhadhdhab

Various media outlets have covered reactions to the Tobruk House of Representatives' signing of the maritime agreement concerning the delimitation of Mediterranean waters off southern Türkiye and northeastern Libya, related to the extraction of minerals, petroleum, and gas beneath the seabed. This comes seven years after it refused to recognize the legality of the continental shelf agreement and rejected the actions of the previous Tripoli government on the grounds that it lacked the authority to do so.

To begin with, it is necessary to recount the events and circumstances under which this agreement was concluded between Türkiye and Libya—specifically, the Government of National Accord led by Fayez al-Sarraj—in 2019. This occurred during Haftar's offensive on Tripoli, when the city was being shelled by aircraft and artillery, along with other cities in western Libya. It became evident that Haftar's forces were struggling to enter the capital. At that point, al-Sarraj—prompted by local forces—went to Türkiye and signed a security agreement. Under its terms, Türkiye supplied local forces with Kornet anti-tank missiles, drones equipped with cameras and bombs, as well as air defense systems. Some young fighters from the brigades were trained on these weapons, which were provided in limited

quantities and only to the extent permitted by the United States, as the Turks entered with American approval.

At that time, Türkiye requested al-Sarraj's government to sign the Libyan–Turkish agreement on maritime border demarcation between Türkiye and Libya. It was ratified by the Turkish parliament and government, but the Tobruk parliament refrained from signing, as it was under Haftar's control—and behind him, Egypt.

Now, however, after some local forces in western Libya tempted Haftar by offering him the presidency of the Presidential Council under the Boulus project, the price Haftar had to pay was the removal of Aqila Saleh from the presidency of the Tobruk parliament, which had close ties to Egyptian intelligence—especially since Egyptian intelligence was extremely angry about his role in supplying Hemedti with weapons and funds. To cover up his role in the assassination of Al-Mansouri and his exposed involvement in the burning of the storage tanks at the Zawiya refinery, he pushed the Tobruk parliament to sign the maritime border demarcation agreement between Libya and Türkiye, which had been signed by the Tripoli government and Türkiye on November 27, 2019, and deposited with the United Nations.

The agreement stipulated the following clauses:

1. The agreement aims to delineate the continental shelf boundaries within the Exclusive Economic Zone between the southern coasts of Türkiye and the northeastern coasts of Libya in accordance with the ideology of international maritime law (noting the principle of equitable delimitation for opposite coasts).

2. The Basic Clauses of the Memorandum:

-Article 1: Delimitation of Maritime Boundaries — The boundaries of the continental shelf and the exclusive economic zone between the southern coasts of Türkiye and the northern coasts of Libya in the Mediterranean Sea shall commence from Point (A) and terminate at Point (B), as defined by the straight geographical lines connecting the following coordinates:

- Point (A): Latitude (34° 16′ 13.7″ N), Longitude (26° 19′ 21.1″ E)
- Point (B): Latitude (34° 09′ 07.9″ N), Longitude (26° 03′ 06.9″ E)

Note: The remaining sub-points along this sector are expressed according to a coordinates map attached to this memorandum.

- Article Two: Maps and Coordinates — The maritime boundaries referenced in Article One shall be delineated on the map attached to this Memorandum of Understanding. Both the map and the geographic coordinates shall form an integral part of the agreement.

- Article Three: International Registration — The two parties agree to register this Memorandum with the Secretariat of the United Nations in accordance with Article 102 of the Charter of the United Nations.

- Article Four: Dispute Settlement — Any dispute arising between the two parties regarding the interpretation or implementation of this Memorandum shall be resolved through diplomatic channels, mutual understanding, and direct negotiations.

- Article Five: Review and Amendment — This Memorandum may be amended or reviewed at any time with the written consent of both parties, conveyed through diplomatic channels.

- Article Six: Entry into Force — This Memorandum shall enter into force on the date of the last written notification confirming

that both countries have completed their respective internal legal procedures.

3. Rights and Obligations Arising from the Agreement:

- Exploration and Exploitation — The agreement grants both Libya and Türkiye sovereign rights to explore and exploit natural resources—including oil, gas, fisheries, and mineral wealth—within the designated areas.

- The agreement stipulates that neither party may enter into negotiations with a third country regarding the delimitation of maritime borders in the Mediterranean Sea that fall within these boundaries without prior notification and coordination between the two signatory parties.

4. Legal Status and Domestic Developments:

The Turkish Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the Libyan authorities deposited the agreement with the United Nations in 2020, where it was officially registered.

In reality, this memorandum emboldens the Jews to assert a claim on behalf of their entity to a share of the continental shelf belonging to Palestine. It also serves as a pretext for them to provoke conflict with Lebanon and Egypt under the guise of protecting Palestine's continental shelf—especially at a time when the Muslims of the region are divided and fragmented, fighting among themselves, unified under no single banner and ruled by no single leader.

There was a time, beginning around 50 AH, when the Mediterranean Sea was an Islamic lake, where none of the enemies of Allah ﷻ could sail without Muslim permission. The war in 1803 CE between the Ottoman governor Yusuf Pasha

Karamanli and America—which led to the capture of the massive ship Philadelphia in Tripoli, along with its crew, commanders, and captain—forced America to pay the governor for the release of the detained unit and to pay tribute to the Ottoman governor in Algiers for safe passage through the Mediterranean Sea and entry via the Strait of Gibraltar.

By the Permission of Allah ﷻ, such a time will soon return, and the Ummah will have no need for the agreements of agent rulers with America and the West. Then the Jewish entity will be uprooted, and Palestine will be purified of its filth—and that is not beyond the power of Allah ﷻ.

Trump Races Against the End of His Term to Close the Sahara File

By: Ustadh Munaji Muhammad

The United Nations terminated the mission of its force known as the United Nations Mission for the Referendum in Western Sahara (MINURSO) at midnight on October 31, 2026. Established in 1991 under the Settlement Plan, MINURSO was tasked with monitoring the ceasefire and organizing a referendum for self-determination—either through independence or integration with Morocco. The following day, the withdrawal of the mission's personnel and equipment began, paving the way for the liquidation of its presence in the territory. This occurred after the UN Security Council failed to renew the mission's mandate due to a disagreement between the United States and Russia.

The end of the MINURSO mission cannot be viewed in isolation from the shift in U.S. policy toward the Western Sahara issue during Trump's term. His recognition of the Moroccan identity of the Sahara on December 10, 2020, and his assertion that "the establishment of an independent Sahrawi state is not a realistic option for resolving the conflict and that genuine autonomy under Moroccan sovereignty is the only possible solution" marked the pivotal moment that altered the structure of the Western Sahara issue file.

Thus, the conclusion of the MINURSO mission after 35 years cannot be interpreted merely as an administrative termination of a UN mission's mandate resulting from a U.S.–Russia clash. Rather, it is part of a political process and an American approach to closing the Western Sahara issue in its old format—a colonialist

conflict whose resolution was to be found through organizing a referendum for self-determination under international supervision. This has now been transformed into a file of a political conflict whose resolution lies in negotiated autonomy, with the U.S. as the primary guarantor of its outcomes, while marginalizing the United Nations and other international parties.

Consequently, America holds the pen in drafting resolutions related to the Sahara file, and Trump's administration is pushing vigorously to end the old format and reframe the Sahara issue as a bilateral political conflict that it oversees, thereby blocking the path for other international actors.

Within this American trajectory, its latest draft resolution was presented to the UN Security Council. On October 30, 2026, it submitted a proposal aimed at altering MINURSO's political framework by removing self-determination from its mandate and deleting the word 'referendum' from the mission's name, changing it to MINOSO. It also sought to adopt the Moroccan autonomy proposal as the basis for the solution, while restructuring and downsizing the mission. These fundamental changes prompted Russia's veto.

However, the Russian veto should not be reduced merely to defending the old formula of self-determination; it extends to the management of international politics. The American proposal did not simply seek to renew the mission or make adjustments within its charter; rather, it called for changing its political framework, name, function, and very purpose. This represents a shift in rules that Russia considers a matter for international consensus, not a unilateral imposition by America—which explains Russia's strong objection.

The end of the MINURSO mission after 35 years is the result of a political upheaval in the structure of the Western Sahara issue, driven by a shift in America's stance and Trump's relentless effort—before the end of his term—to close the file of the issue in its old form in order to achieve his strategic ambitions in the Sahara and the region, viewing negotiated autonomy as the key.

In America's hands, the Western Sahara issue has been a tool of colonialist struggle with Europe—until the Trump administration, in its first term, introduced a novel approach to penetrate the region and manage the issue. It adopted the autonomy proposal as a means for its political, economic, and military infiltration into the region through the Moroccan Sahara—the gateway to the Sahel and Africa, and an open door to the Islamic Maghreb. Politically, this is facilitated through its consulate, which will manage burning colonial files in the Maghreb, the Sahel, and the sub-Sahel; economically, through its colonialist companies that will oversee the plunder of the region's unexploited resources; and militarily, by establishing a foothold for its military command in Africa, AFRICOM, alongside the periodic African Lion maneuvers in Morocco and neighboring countries. Additionally, the file is leveraged in the Abraham Accords aimed at integrating the Jewish entity into the region through comprehensive normalization.

The omens of this American penetration have begun to reveal themselves, and with them, the portents of catastrophe. U.S. Deputy Secretary of State Christopher Landau announced that, in the context of Washington's recognition of Morocco's independent control over its Sahara, the U.S. government has decided to encourage American investments in the southern provinces of the Kingdom. The Moroccan Sahara has recently

witnessed visits by trade delegations and growing interest from major American companies and investments supported by Washington. This year, a major investment agreement was signed in the city of Tan-Tan between Morocco and the American company GPM Holding, worth approximately 8 billion dirhams, to establish an industrial unit specialized in producing polysilicon for the solar panel industry. Furthermore, international and local reports have revealed that American trade delegations have conducted field visits to the region, expressing broad interest in investment opportunities in prospecting and clean energy projects, major ports such as the Atlantic port of Dakhla, and the infrastructure in southern Morocco.

Even more alarming are the military and security agreements and the American bases slated for construction on Moroccan soil to manage American colonialism in Morocco, the region, and Africa (as outlined in the U.S.–Morocco Roadmap for Defense Cooperation 2026–2036).

The termination of the MINURSO mission heralds a phase of American colonial infiltration through the gateway of the Sahara, following European acquiescence (Spain declared its support for the autonomy proposal in 2022, followed by France in 2025). Today, the United Nations and its international parties are being stripped of their tool, MINURSO, and the issue has ended up as a component of America's foreign policy, managed through its diplomacy to serve its colonialist interests. Through its tainted recognition of the Moroccan Sahara, America has driven its stakes into Morocco and its Sahara, and now seeks to consolidate them. The governance void in Morocco has brought upon its people the evils of American colonialists.

One point that must be mentioned is the reaction of the Polisario Front, which has collided with the American wall amid European acquiescence and the alignment of both Morocco and Algeria with British influence—which supports the autonomy solution—leaving the Polisario Front with little room for maneuver. With the Algerian regime toning down its rhetoric, the likelihood of full-scale war remains low. What is more probable are skirmishes and tension in the border region, which may be met with American condemnation and a withdrawal of legitimacy.

It is the disbelieving Western colonialism, its scramble, and its conflict over the land of the Muslims. This rotten colonialist scenario would never have come to be, were it not for the vacuum of the state and ruling governance.